Kurdish Studies
December 2024
Volume: 12, No: 6, pp 70-78
ISSN: 2051-4883 (Print) | ISSN 2051-4891 (Online)
www.KurdishStudies.net

DOI: 10.53555/ks.v12i6.3710

Challenges Associated with Online Work-Integrated Learning. Ensuring Quality of WIL And Assessments Post-COVID-19.

Dr. Dipela Mmaphuti Percy*

*University of South Africa, School of Social Sciences, South Africa.

Abstract
This paper seeks to outline the challenges faced by both social work teachers and students during work-integrated learning in the advent of COVID-19 and post-COVID-19, with an attempt to reshape work-integrated learning and assessment. The unexpected arrival of COVID-19 forced institutions of higher learning to engage with students online. As part of this development, social work teachers had to quickly introduce new teaching approaches and assessment methods, including case studies, case simulations, observation of existing projects, creation of YouTube videos, online assessment, and supervision. All these came with their challenges, which required innovative strategies that had to be supplemented with quality assurance measures to safeguard the quality of teaching. Importantly, ensuring ethical practice during the online WIL approach became more challenging due to the changing nature of the risks encountered and the restricted possibilities to honor people's rights. Social workers and social work students had to put much effort into rethinking and reconfiguring resources, procedures, protocols, and conventional processes to uphold professional integrity and practice standards. The author utilized desktop research, guided by a focused mapping review and synthesis method, and practiced knowledge as a practical lecturer to gather the data for this paper. The implications of the online WIL approach in social work practice are perceived ethical dilemmas and poor-quality assurance, which may compromise the profession. Proper quality measures need to be put in place to avoid these. Addressing these challenges requires combining technological solutions, pedagogical innovations, and support structures to ensure that online WIL programs effectively prepare students for the workforce while mitigating the drawbacks of remote learning and work experiences.

Background and Introduction

Work-integrated learning (WIL) is a crucial aspect of higher education that bridges theoretical knowledge and practical application, particularly in social work (Alderman, 2016). The COVID-19 pandemic forced institutions to transition to online learning almost overnight, disrupting traditional modes of WIL delivery. This shift posed significant challenges for educators, students, and the social work profession, requiring immediate adaptation to maintain learning continuity while addressing ethical concerns and quality assurance (Alon, Doepke, Olmstead-Rumsey, & Tertilt, 2020). Post-pandemic, the demand to ensure the quality and integrity of WIL assessments has persisted, raising questions about how to reshape WIL practices for a digital era (Baker, 2020). This paper investigates the challenges associated with online WIL and explores strategies to enhance its quality and effectiveness in a post-COVID-19 context.

Work-Integrated Learning (WIL) has become a defining feature of higher education, especially within professional and vocational disciplines, due to its ability to bridge academic knowledge with practical, real-world experience. Traditionally, WIL involves placements, internships, simulations, and industry projects that occur within physical workplaces, underpinned by direct supervision and guided reflection. The emergence of COVID-19 in early 2020 disrupted this model at a global scale, prompting institutions to transition many WIL activities into online or remote formats (Jackson, 2020). While this shift preserved continuity for many programs, it simultaneously exposed critical vulnerabilities in existing pedagogical structures and assessment models. Post-pandemic, these concerns remain unresolved, especially as hybrid and digital WIL modes continue to be normalized across tertiary systems.

Online WIL introduces complexities that go beyond simple content delivery. Central to the WIL experience is the immersion of students into authentic professional environments, where they can engage with workplace culture, problem-solving, and interpersonal dynamics (Patrick, 2008). Transposing such experiences into a digital realm challenges the authenticity of learning and raises concerns about the dilution of experiential quality. Moreover, the crisis-induced move to online platforms often occurred without the benefit of pedagogical planning or infrastructure readiness, leading to inconsistencies in quality, equity, and access (Ferns, 2021). For many students, particularly those in under-resourced regions or programs, digital WIL meant limited interaction, superficial engagement, and a transactional rather than transformative learning experience.

Another key concern lies in the assessment of learning outcomes within online WIL contexts. Assessments in WIL must capture both technical and transferable skills, often demonstrated through complex, situated learning that does not always lend itself to traditional evaluation tools. The shift online complicated this further, as supervisors and educators were distanced from the direct observation of student performance (Smith et al., 2019). The reliability, validity, and fairness of assessment instruments have come under scrutiny, particularly regarding how well they capture critical thinking, professional judgment, and reflective capacity in online environments. Additionally, academic institutions have struggled to establish consistent quality assurance processes for remote placements and projects, often relying on informal or ad hoc arrangements with industry partners (Winchester-Seeto et al., 2016).

The post-COVID period has thus prompted a renewed focus on the design and governance of WIL. Ensuring quality in online or hybrid WIL models requires rethinking not only logistical delivery but also the theoretical underpinnings that shape experiential learning. It demands sustained investment in faculty development, digital infrastructure, and institutional frameworks that support ethical, inclusive, and high-impact learning. Furthermore, it invites critical reflection on the principles of assessment authenticity, transparency, and equity and how these can be safeguarded in remote settings. This article critically examines the systemic challenges of online WIL in the post-pandemic context, with an emphasis on maintaining pedagogical integrity and robust assessment practices. It aims to contribute to the growing discourse on how higher education can responsibly adapt experiential learning for a digital future without compromising its core educational value.

Problem statement

The rapid transition to online work-integrated learning (WIL) during the COVID-19 pandemic exposed significant challenges for higher education institutions, educators, and students (Clark & Zukas, 2016). Social work education, which relies heavily on experiential and practical learning, faced difficulties in ensuring ethical practices, maintaining the quality of assessments, and replicating real-world scenarios in virtual environments (Gribble & McRae, 2017). These challenges persist in the post-pandemic era, requiring innovative solutions to uphold professional standards, address technological inequities, and establish robust quality assurance frameworks. Without these measures, online WIL programs' effectiveness and ability to prepare students for professional practice may remain compromised.

The rapid transition in response to the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted significant gaps in the ability of higher education systems to maintain the quality and integrity of experiential learning and assessment practices in digital contexts. While online adaptations enabled program continuity, they often lacked the pedagogical planning, industry engagement, and infrastructure necessary to deliver authentic, equitable learning experiences (Jackson et al., 2020; Ferns et al., 2021). Traditional WIL relies on immersive, real-world environments that support the development of employability skills, professional identity, and reflective practice (Patrick et al., 2008). However, these outcomes are difficult to replicate in virtual formats, where limited supervision, reduced workplace interaction, and digital inequities pose serious challenges to student engagement and skill acquisition (Winchester-Seeto et al., 2016).

Moreover, assessment in online WIL environments remains a contested space. Standardized tools often fail to capture the nuanced competencies expected in professional settings, and the remote nature of these placements complicates verification of student performance and learning (Smith et al., 2019). There is a pressing need for institutions to develop robust, context-sensitive frameworks that ensure quality assurance, assessment validity, and pedagogical effectiveness in online and hybrid WIL models. Without such frameworks, the risk persists that WIL in its online form may undermine rather than support graduate employability and educational equity.

Research Objectives

1. To identify and analyze the key challenges associated with online work-integrated learning and assessment in social work education post-COVID-19
2. To evaluate strategies and develop recommendations for ensuring quality assurance, ethical compliance, and the effectiveness of online WIL programmess in preparing students for professional practice in the post-pandemic era.

Research Methodology

This study employed a desktop research approach, utilizing secondary data sources to examine the research problem. Desktop research is a qualitative method that systematically collects, analyzes, and synthesizes existing data to develop insights on a given topic (Bowen, 2009). The study specifically applied the Focus Mapping Review Synthesis (FMRS) technique, which organizes literature into thematic clusters, allowing for a structured synthesis of key findings (Boell & Cecez-Kecmanovic, 2014). Data was gathered over a three-month period, from October 1, 2024, to December 31, 2024. Sources were selected based on relevance, credibility, and recency, primarily focusing on peer-reviewed journal articles, industry reports, government publications, and reputable online databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Specific search terms were employed using Boolean operators (e.g., "AND," "OR") to refine results.

The inclusion criteria were:

- Publications from 2015 onward to ensure contemporary relevance.
- Studies explicitly address the research problem within the defined scope.
- Peer-reviewed journal articles and reports from recognized institutions.
- English-language sources to maintain consistency in analysis.

Exclusion criteria included:

- duplicate studies,
- non-scholarly articles, and
- sources lacking methodological transparency.

This research relied solely on publicly available secondary data, so no ethical approval was required. However, all sources were properly cited to maintain academic integrity and avoid plagiarism (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). The application of FMRS

allowed for a structured synthesis of relevant literature, ensuring a comprehensive and rigorous analysis of the research topic. This methodology facilitated the identification of key themes, trends, and gaps, ultimately contributing to a well-rounded understanding of the subject matter.

Conceptualization of work-integrated learning

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly reshaped higher education, particularly in disciplines that rely heavily on experiential learning. Social work education faces distinct challenges due to the profession's stringent ethical and professional standards (Morley et al., 2022). Work-integrated learning (WIL) in social work typically involves direct engagement with clients, communities, and social service agencies, which has become impractical in an online format (Smith & Jones, 2021). In response, educators adopted alternative pedagogical approaches, such as virtual simulations and case-based learning, to replicate real-world experiences. While these strategies demonstrated innovation, they also raised concerns regarding their effectiveness in adequately preparing students for professional practice (Brown & Taylor, 2023).

Maintaining quality assurance was a primary concern in transitioning WIL to an online format. Research indicates that ensuring consistent educational standards across diverse digital platforms presents significant challenges for higher education institutions (Nguyen & Tran, 2022). Additionally, the shift to online learning intensified ethical dilemmas, particularly concerning confidentiality and informed consent, necessitating new protocols for digital interactions (Johnson et al., 2021). The literature emphasizes the importance of robust technological infrastructure, faculty training, and institutional support in addressing these challenges (Anderson & Patel, 2023). Scholarly discussions on online WIL during the pandemic have underscored its unique difficulties in social work education. Many researchers highlight the profound disruptions the shift caused in teaching, learning, and assessment. For example, Nguyen and Tran (2022) argue that the transition to online WIL exposed significant institutional gaps, particularly in quality assurance measures. Their study underscores the difficulty of replicating hands-on, experiential learning in virtual settings. It raises critical questions about whether online simulations can sufficiently equip students for real-world social work practice complexities. Similarly, Brown and Taylor (2023) critique the limitations of online simulations, noting that while these tools offer structured environments, they fail to capture the dynamic and unpredictable nature of real-world client interactions. This deficiency, they argue, may hinder students' ability to develop crucial interpersonal and problem-solving skills necessary for professional competency.

Conversely, some scholars adopt a more optimistic perspective on the potential of online WIL. Smith and Jones (2021) suggest that digital platforms create new opportunities for pedagogical innovation, enhancing accessibility and flexibility in social work education. They emphasize the value of case studies and role-playing exercises in fostering critical thinking skills. However, they caution that rigorous quality assurance frameworks must accompany these tools to ensure their effectiveness. Anderson and Patel (2023) expand on this argument, highlighting the transformative potential of emerging technologies such as virtual reality and artificial intelligence-driven simulations. Despite these advancements, they acknowledge that the accessibility of such technologies remains uneven, exacerbating existing disparities in higher education.

Ethical concerns in online WIL have been a focal point of scholarly debate. Johnson et al. (2021) emphasize the complexities of ensuring confidentiality and obtaining informed consent in digital learning environments. They argue that using online platforms for client engagement and assessment presents unique data security and privacy risks. Similarly, Morley et al. (2022) highlight the rapid transition to online learning, which left little time for institutions to develop comprehensive ethical guidelines. They advocate for establishing clear protocols to ensure online WIL maintains the same ethical standards as in-person training.

The digital divide is another critical issue discussed in the literature. Nguyen and Tran (2022) note that unequal access to technology has disproportionately affected students from marginalized backgrounds, further deepening educational inequities. Brown and Taylor (2023) support this argument, asserting that disparities in digital access and literacy hinder students' ability to fully participate in online WIL, thereby compromising the inclusivity of these programs. To address this challenge, Anderson and Patel (2023) call for targeted investments in digital infrastructure and faculty training programs to bridge the technological gap and enhance digital literacy among students and educators. The adaptation of pedagogical strategies for online WIL is another recurring theme in academic discussions. Smith and Jones (2021) highlight educators' challenges in redesigning curricula and developing innovative assessment methods for digital platforms. They argue that the absence of standardized guidelines for online WIL has led to course delivery and evaluation inconsistencies. Johnson et al. (2021) propose that professional development programs for educators are essential to equip them with the necessary skills for effective online teaching and assessment. Additionally, they emphasize the importance of collaboration among educators, students, and industry stakeholders in creating a supportive and cohesive online learning environment.

The transition to online WIL during the COVID-19 pandemic has sparked extensive academic discourse on its challenges and potential opportunities. While some scholars emphasize the limitations of virtual learning in preparing students for real-world practice, others highlight the potential for technological innovation in social work education. A common thread in the literature is the need for a holistic approach that integrates technological advancements, ethical safeguards, and pedagogical innovations to enhance the effectiveness of online WIL. Achieving this goal requires substantial investments in digital infrastructure, faculty training, and institutional policies that promote equity and inclusivity in higher education (Alon et al., 2020).

Challenges in Online Work-Integrated Learning

Technological Barriers

One of the most significant challenges associated with online work-integrated learning (WIL) is the disparity in technology access among students and educators. Limited availability of high-speed internet, up-to-date hardware, and adequate digital

literacy skills has hindered effective participation in online WIL programs (Brown & Taylor, 2023). These technological disparities have exacerbated existing inequities, particularly affecting students from marginalized communities. Successful engagement in digital learning requires consistent access to reliable internet connections and modern devices such as laptops or tablets (Lloyd, Paull, Clerke, & Male, 2019). However, meeting these requirements poses significant challenges for students from low-income households or remote areas (Nguyen & Tran, 2022).

In addition to hardware and connectivity issues, variations in digital literacy further impact students' ability to navigate online learning tools effectively. Limited proficiency with digital platforms hinders engagement with course materials and reduces the effectiveness of case-based learning and simulation activities central to WIL (Johnson et al., 2021). Some universities' lack of institutional support has further compounded these technological barriers. While some institutions have implemented initiatives such as providing students with loaned laptops or subsidized internet access, these measures often fail to meet the full extent of students' needs. Additionally, many educators, particularly those with limited prior experience in online teaching, have struggled to adapt to digital platforms without sufficient training or resources (Smith & Jones, 2021). The persistent digital divide threatens the inclusivity and effectiveness of online WIL programs, underscoring the need for targeted institutional support and investment in technological infrastructure.

Quality Assurance

Ensuring the quality and effectiveness of WIL in an online setting has proven to be a significant challenge. Unlike traditional face-to-face WIL, online learning environments make it more difficult to assess whether students have acquired the necessary competencies for professional practice (Nguyen & Tran, 2022; Hay, 2020). The transition to digital formats has often occurred without clearly established benchmarks for evaluating the effectiveness of virtual learning tools. Although simulations and case-based learning methods serve as alternatives for skill development, their ability to replicate the complexity of real-world practice remains questionable. Educators have raised concerns regarding student engagement levels and the authenticity of learning experiences facilitated through these methods (Brown & Taylor, 2023).

A lack of consistent quality assurance standards across institutions further complicates the issue. While some universities have established rigorous protocols to uphold educational quality in online WIL, others lag behind, leading to inconsistencies in student outcomes (Anderson & Patel, 2023). Furthermore, assessing student competencies in virtual settings often involves subjective evaluations, introducing potential biases and inconsistencies. For instance, students who demonstrate proficiency in simulated tasks may struggle to apply these skills in real-world contexts, highlighting a gap between assessment outcomes and actual professional readiness (Nguyen & Tran, 2022). Addressing these challenges necessitates the development of standardized quality assurance measures tailored to the unique demands of online WIL.

Ethical Challenges

The transition to online WIL has also introduced new ethical dilemmas, particularly in data privacy, informed consent, and maintaining professional boundaries in virtual environments. Using video recordings, online case discussions, and digital client interactions requires stringent measures to safeguard confidentiality (Johnson et al., 2021). Unlike traditional WIL settings, where face-to-face supervision ensures adherence to ethical guidelines, the online environment presents additional risks due to reliance on third-party platforms with varying security standards (Morley et al., 2022). Another ethical challenge stems from the potential blurring of professional boundaries in virtual settings. Maintaining appropriate levels of formality and discretion in online learning environments can be difficult for both students and educators (Smith & Jones, 2021). Ethical dilemmas become even more pronounced when students engage in remote internships or client interactions without direct oversight. For instance, using case studies in virtual settings may inadvertently lead to breaches of confidentiality if students share sensitive information inappropriately (Brown & Taylor, 2023). Addressing these ethical concerns requires implementing comprehensive ethical frameworks and training programs that equip students and educators with the skills necessary to navigate the complexities of online professional practice.

Pedagogical Adaptation

The rapid transition to online WIL posed significant pedagogical challenges, requiring educators to redesign curricula, develop digital learning resources, and create innovative assessment strategies with limited preparation time. The absence of standardized guidelines for online WIL further complicated this process (Smith & Jones, 2021). Effective online pedagogy demands a balance between theoretical instruction and practical skill development, a task that is particularly challenging in a virtual environment. While digital simulations offer valuable experiential learning opportunities, they often lack the' unpredictability and interpersonal nuances of real-world interactions (Nguyen & Tran, 2022).

The shift to online platforms also required educators to master new technologies and integrate them seamlessly into their teaching methodologies. This proved particularly difficult for instructors with limited experience in digital pedagogy (Johnson et al., 2021). The pressure to adapt quickly often resulted in inconsistent curriculum delivery, with some educators relying heavily on lecture-based formats that failed to engage students actively. Furthermore, the absence of collaborative learning opportunities, a fundamental component of traditional WIL, further diminished the overall quality of the learning experience (Anderson & Patel, 2023). To address these pedagogical challenges, institutions must provide comprehensive professional development programs, invest in digital teaching resources, and establish best practices for online WIL implementation.

Strategies for Ensuring Quality in Online WIL

Technological Solutions

Investing in robust digital infrastructure is essential to ensuring equitable access to online work-integrated learning (WIL). Higher education institutions must prioritize providing reliable internet connectivity, essential hardware such as laptops or tablets, and comprehensive technical support for students and educators. These investments are particularly crucial in addressing the digital divide, as students from rural or low-income backgrounds often face significant barriers to online WIL programs (Anderson & Patel, 2023). In addition to infrastructure, digital literacy initiatives should be integrated into institutional strategies to equip students and educators with the necessary skills to navigate and utilize online learning platforms effectively. Training programs focusing on fundamental competencies such as operating video conferencing software, utilizing virtual collaboration tools, and understanding data privacy protocols can significantly enhance student engagement and participation in online WIL activities (Nguyen & Tran, 2022).

Furthermore, emerging technologies such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) offer promising avenues for creating immersive and interactive learning experiences. VR simulations, for example, allow students to engage in realistic practice scenarios within a controlled environment, closely replicating real-world challenges. However, ensuring these technologies remain accessible and scalable is vital to maintaining inclusivity across diverse student populations (Anderson & Patel, 2023). Additionally, establishing technical support systems, such as institutional help desks and peer-assisted technology mentorship programs, can provide timely assistance for students and educators, minimizing disruptions and facilitating smoother participation in online WIL activities.

Enhanced Pedagogical Practices

Developing standardized guidelines for online, WIL is essential in supporting educators as they design curricula that balance theoretical instruction with practical skill development. These guidelines should emphasize incorporating interactive learning methodologies, such as role-playing exercises, case-study analyses, and problem-solving workshops, to simulate professional challenges students are likely to encounter in the field (Nguyen & Tran, 2022). Collaboration between academic institutions and industry professionals can enhance curriculum relevance, ensuring that online WIL aligns with current professional standards and workforce expectations. Integrating innovative learning tools like VR-based case simulations and gamified learning platforms can strengthen student engagement and provide a more authentic learning experience. For instance, tailored virtual simulations in social work education can enable students to apply theoretical concepts in a structured and controlled environment, reinforcing their problem-solving and decision-making skills. However, the effective implementation of such tools requires ongoing professional development for educators to familiarize themselves with digital pedagogical strategies and best practices (Smith & Jones, 2021). Additionally, fostering collaborative learning opportunities within online WIL programs is critical for developing essential professional competencies, such as teamwork and communication. Structured peer feedback mechanisms and collaborative projects conducted through virtual platforms can help students build these skills while promoting active engagement and knowledge exchange.

Ethical Frameworks

The shift to online WIL has introduced a range of ethical considerations, necessitating the development of comprehensive policies to uphold professional standards in virtual settings. Institutions must establish clear guidelines on data protection, informed consent, and professional boundaries when using digital platforms for client interactions, assessments, or case discussions (Johnson et al., 2021). For instance, adapted digital consent forms should clearly outline how participant data will be collected, stored, and used, ensuring transparency and minimizing the risk of confidentiality breaches.

Furthermore, ethical training tailored to online WIL contexts is essential in responsibly preparing students to navigate digital professional environments. Institutions can implement workshops and instructional modules covering critical topics such as managing sensitive client information, addressing ethical dilemmas in virtual simulations, and maintaining professional conduct during remote internships or client interactions (Morley et al., 2022). Additionally, ensuring that all digital platforms used for online WIL meet stringent cybersecurity standards is imperative in mitigating risks related to data security, cyber threats, and unauthorized access.

Quality Assurance Mechanisms

Establishing rigorous quality assurance mechanisms is fundamental to maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of online WIL programs. Higher education institutions should implement structured systems for monitoring and evaluating the quality of virtual learning activities and assessment methods. Regular audits of online teaching practices, complemented by peer reviews, can help identify areas for improvement and ensure consistency across different programs (Brown & Taylor, 2023). Institutions should develop standardized performance benchmarks aligned with industry expectations to assess student competencies online accurately. Competency-based assessment frameworks can help educators measure students' technical proficiency and interpersonal skills, ensuring they are adequately prepared for professional practice.

Student feedback also plays a crucial role in quality assurance. Gathering insights through anonymous surveys, focus groups, and reflective evaluations can provide valuable information on the strengths and weaknesses of online WIL programs. Institutions can use this feedback to refine their approaches, fostering a culture of continuous improvement. Additionally, the implementation of standardized assessment rubrics can enhance the reliability of evaluations by ensuring consistent criteria for measuring student performance in virtual activities.

Support Structures

Establishing strong support structures is critical in addressing the unique challenges of online WIL. Mentorship programs that connect students with experienced professionals can provide valuable guidance, helping them navigate the complexities of online learning and professional practice (Smith & Jones, 2021). These mentorship opportunities can also facilitate industry engagement, enhancing students' career readiness. Furthermore, institutions must recognize the impact of online learning on student and faculty well-being. The potential for isolation and increased stress in virtual environments necessitates the integration of mental health resources into online WIL programs. Providing access to counseling services, peer support networks, and wellness initiatives can help students and educators manage the emotional and psychological challenges associated with remote learning.

Promoting a sense of community within online WIL programs is essential in fostering engagement and professional growth. Virtual networking events, industry-led webinars, and collaborative digital projects can create meaningful opportunities for knowledge exchange, skill development, and career advancement. By cultivating a supportive and interconnected learning environment, institutions can enhance the overall effectiveness of online WIL and ensure that students receive the resources necessary to succeed (Anderson & Patel, 2023).

Discussion

The shift to online work-integrated learning (WIL) during the COVID-19 pandemic was largely reactive, driven by the necessity to uphold academic continuity rather than the deliberate design of pedagogically sound remote experiences. This reactive nature has produced a number of systemic and pedagogical gaps that continue to affect the credibility and quality of online WIL post-pandemic.

A recurring theme in the literature is the challenge of authenticity in online WIL experiences. Patrick et al. (2008) emphasize that WIL's value lies in its capacity to immerse students in authentic professional environments that foster experiential learning and workplace socialization. However, in online settings, these immersive elements are often compromised. For instance, Jackson et al. (2020) note that online placements tend to reduce informal learning opportunities, such as mentoring, workplace observation, and spontaneous collaboration, which are essential for developing professional identity and soft skills. This is echoed by Smith et al. (2019), who argue that remote settings tend to fragment learning experiences, making it harder for students to integrate theory with practice.

Another critical issue is assessment validity and reliability. Traditional WIL assessments rely heavily on supervisor feedback and direct observation, which are difficult to achieve remotely. Ferns et al. (2021) highlight that many assessment practices used during the pandemic were insufficiently adapted for remote formats, often defaulting to reflective journals or self-assessments without adequate triangulation or moderation. These methods, while accessible, risk reinforcing surface-level engagement and fail to capture the complexity of workplace performance (Winchester-Seeto et al., 2016). Furthermore, assessments may disadvantage students who lack digital fluency or access to stable internet and devices, compounding issues of educational equity.

The literature also shows a lack of consistent quality assurance frameworks for online WIL. While traditional WIL programs often include structured partnerships and clearly defined learning outcomes, the online transition introduced ad hoc arrangements that were not always governed by institutional standards (Cooper, Orrell, & Bowden, 2010). This has led to concerns about variation in student experience and employer expectations. As Jackson et al. (2020) observe, employers frequently lack clarity around their roles in online WIL, leading to uneven supervision and feedback quality. Some authors, such as McRae and Johnston (2021), suggest the development of shared digital platforms that support real-time supervision, standardized assessment rubrics, and continuous communication between universities and industry as a means to ensure coherence and accountability.

Despite these challenges, the shift to online WIL also offers opportunities for innovation. Zegwaard et al. (2020) argue that digital WIL can expand access to placements for students who are geographically isolated, have caregiving responsibilities, or face other barriers to physical mobility. Furthermore, professionally designed virtual simulations and project-based placements have demonstrated promise in replicating some elements of workplace learning, especially when supported by robust scaffolding and assessment mechanisms (Ferns et al., 2021). However, these innovations require significant investment in faculty development, employer engagement, and digital infrastructure investments that many institutions have yet to make strategically.

Overall, the literature reveals that while online WIL has been functional as a short-term solution, its long-term viability depends on addressing critical gaps in design, delivery, and assessment. Authors consistently call for co-designed WIL models that bring together educators, industry partners, and students to develop shared expectations, clear learning outcomes, and reliable assessment practices (Smith et al., 2019; McRae & Johnston, 2021). There is also a need for empirical research that evaluates the effectiveness of specific online WIL interventions, beyond anecdotal or case-based reports. Without such efforts, online WIL risks becoming a diluted version of its in-person counterpart rather than an evolved form of experiential learning.

Recommendations

Prioritizing Investment in Digital Infrastructure

Higher education institutions must allocate substantial resources to developing and maintaining robust digital infrastructure to support online Work-Integrated Learning (WIL). A well-structured technological ecosystem ensures that students, educators, and industry partners can seamlessly engage in virtual internships, remote projects, and digital simulations. This requires investment in high-speed internet connectivity, cloud-based learning management systems, and secure communication platforms that facilitate real-time collaboration (Johnson et al., 2022). Additionally, institutions must address digital equity by

providing financial and technical support to students from disadvantaged backgrounds to prevent disparities in access to online WIL opportunities (Brown & Green, 2021).

Development of Standardized Guidelines for Online WIL

The absence of uniform standards for online WIL programs results in inconsistencies in quality, assessment methods, and student learning outcomes. To ensure coherence and rigor, regulatory bodies and higher education institutions should collaborate to establish standardized guidelines that define expectations for virtual placements, industry supervision, assessment criteria, and technological requirements (Smith & Betts, 2020). These guidelines should also consider discipline-specific variations, ensuring flexibility while maintaining academic and professional integrity. A standardized accreditation framework would enhance the recognition and transferability of online WIL experiences across institutions and industries, strengthening their value for students and employers (Gibbs et al., 2021).

Updating Ethical Frameworks for Online WIL

The shift to online WIL raises significant ethical concerns, particularly regarding data privacy, confidentiality, and cybersecurity. Traditional ethical guidelines for work-integrated learning must be revised and expanded for the digital landscape. Institutions should develop clear policies addressing data ownership, informed consent, intellectual property rights, and the ethical use of artificial intelligence in remote work environments (Walker & Lloyd, 2022). Additionally, students and industry partners should receive training on cybersecurity best practices to mitigate risks associated with data breaches and online surveillance (Mason, 2021). These frameworks must be continuously updated to keep pace with evolving digital ethics and regulatory standards.

Implementing Professional Development Programs for Educators

Educators play a critical role in facilitating online WIL experiences, yet many lack the digital pedagogical skills to effectively mentor and assess students in virtual environments. Institutions should introduce comprehensive professional development programs focusing on technology-enhanced teaching, remote supervision, and online assessment methods (Kumar & Dawson, 2020). Training should include best practices for using virtual reality (VR), artificial intelligence (AI), and learning analytics to create immersive and data-driven online WIL experiences (Nguyen et al., 2021). Institutions can enhance online WIL programs' quality, engagement, and effectiveness by equipping educators with these competencies.

Fostering Collaboration Among Stakeholders

A holistic and sustainable online WIL ecosystem requires active collaboration among higher education institutions, industry partners, policymakers, and students. Universities should establish strategic partnerships with businesses to co-design WIL programs that align with emerging industry demands and technological advancements (Clark et al., 2022). Additionally, government bodies and accreditation agencies should proactively support policy development, fund initiatives, and ensure regulatory compliance. Regular stakeholder engagement forums, such as industry-academic roundtables and professional networking events, can facilitate knowledge exchange and promote best practices for online WIL implementation (Jones & Cooper, 2021).

Conclusion

The transition to online WIL during and post-COVID-19 has highlighted significant challenges, including technological barriers, ethical dilemmas, and quality assurance issues. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that combines technological innovation, pedagogical advancements, and institutional support. By adopting these strategies, higher education institutions can ensure that online WIL programs effectively prepare students for professional practice while upholding the standards of the social work profession.

Through recasting WIL to align with transformations of work in this third-wave information society, this paper highlighted that while innovative forms of WIL emerged, the COVID-19 situation has escalated uptake. During this recovery period, after panic moving WIL online, intentional steps can now be taken to reimagine WIL beyond place-based learning and consider vast, innovative ways of integrating work and learning in higher education. These new and alternative models of WIL are not substitutions for placements but instead opportunities to engage students in the changing nature of work and learning. It is recommended that the design of WIL concentrate on giving students the chance to experience real-world work roles and activities. Focusing on practice emphasizes what students do to take on real-world professional roles rather than where they do it. It also creates opportunities for students to participate in virtual, real-world, or hybrid learning environments. In order to maximize students' first-hand experiences practicing, observing, analyzing, and immersing themselves in diverse workspaces, universities should develop and enhance WIL through various models and stages within the curriculum.

Crucially, the nature of effective WIL practice and pedagogy must be continuously prioritized and investigated as new and alternative forms of WIL develop.

References

1. Alderman, L. (2016). Mapping government reforms in quality against higher education theory: is the relationship symbiotic? Quality in Higher Education, 22(3), 197-212. https://doi.org/10.1080/13538322.2016.1251047
2. Alon, T., Doepke, M., Olmstead-Rumsey, J., & Tertilt, M. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on gender equality. National Bureau of Economic Research.
3. Americans for the Arts. (2020, May 4). COVID-19's impact on the Arts: Research update. www.AmericansForTheArts.org/node/103614. Baker, K. J. (2020). Panic-gogy: A conversation with Sean Michael Morris. The National Teaching & Learning Forum, 29(4), 1-3. https://doi.org/10.1002/ntlf.30239
4. Anderson, R., & Patel, S. (2023). *Technological innovations in higher education: Challenges and opportunities*. Journal of Educational Technology, 18(3), 45-60.
5. Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2014). A hermeneutic approach for conducting literature reviews and literature search. Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 34(1), 257–286.
6. Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27–40.
7. Brown, K., & Taylor, J. (2023). *Evaluating the effectiveness of virtual simulations in social work education*. Social Work Journal, 35(2), 78-92.
8. Brown, M., & Green, T. (2021). Digital equity in online learning: Addressing accessibility and inclusion. Journal of Higher Education Technology, 38(4), 112-128.
9. Clark, M., & Zukas, M. (2016). Understanding successful sandwich placements: A Bourdieusian approach. Studies in Higher Education, 41(7), 1281-1295. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.968121.
10. Clark, R., Smith, P., & Davies, L. (2022). Industry-education partnerships in the digital age: Best practices for work-integrated learning. International Journal of Experiential Learning, 15(2), 89-102.
11. Cooper, L., Orrell, J., & Bowden, M. (2010). Work Integrated Learning: A Guide to Effective Practice. Routledge.
12. Cooper, L., Orrell, J., & Bowden, M. (2010). Work Integrated Learning: A Guide to Effective Practice. Routledge.
13. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Sage publications.
14. Ferns, S., Rowe, A. D., Zegwaard, K., & McIlveen, P. (2021). Enhancing graduate employability through industry engagement and the development of career competencies. International Journal of Work-Integrated Learning, 22(2), 103–114.
15. Ferns, S., Rowe, A. D., Zegwaard, K., & McIlveen, P. (2021). Enhancing graduate employability through industry engagement and the development of career competencies. International Journal of Work-Integrated Learning, 22(2), 103–114.
16. Gibbs, A., Thompson, J., & Foster, M. (2021). Standardizing work-integrated learning: A comparative analysis of online and traditional placements. Higher Education Review, 29(3), 55-78.
17. Gribble, C., & McRae, N. (2017). Creating a climate for global WIL: Barriers to participation and strategies for enhancing international students' involvement in WIL in Canada and Australia. In G. Barton & K. Hartwig (Eds.), Professional learning in the work place for international students: Exploring theory and practice (pp. 35-55). Springer International. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60058-1_3.
18. Hay, K. (2020). What is quality work-integrated learning? Social work tertiary educator perspectives. International Journal of Work Intregrated Learning, 21(1), 51-61.
19. Jackson, D., Fleming, J., & Rowe, A. (2019). Enabling the transfer of skills and knowledge across classroom and work contexts. Vocations and Learning, 12(3), 459-478. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12186-019-09224-.
20. Jackson, D., Rowbottom, D., Ferns, S., & McLaren, D. (2020). Employer understanding of work-integrated learning and the challenges of engaging in WIL. Studies in Higher Education, 45(9), 1831–1846. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2019.1666253
21. Jackson, D., Rowbottom, D., Ferns, S., & McLaren, D. (2020). Employer understanding of work-integrated learning and the challenges of engaging in WIL. Studies in Higher Education, 45(9), 1831–1846. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2019.1666253.
22. Johnson, D., Patel, K., & Williams, B. (2022). The role of digital infrastructure in supporting remote internships and online work-integrated learning. Education and Technology Studies, 19(1), 23-41.
23. Johnson, L., et al. (2021). *Ethical dilemmas in online social work practice: A framework for educators*. Ethics in Practice, 10(1), 23-39.
24. Jones, C., & Cooper, L. (2021). Building networks for online work-integrated learning: The role of stakeholder collaboration. Work-Based Learning Journal, 10(2), 67-85.
25. Kumar, S., & Dawson, R. (2020). Professional development for digital teaching in higher education: A case for structured training. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 23(4), 77-92.
26. Lloyd, N. A., Paull, M., Clerke, T.,& Male, S. A. (2019). Access, quality and wellbeing in engineering. Work Integrated Learning placements: Implications for equity and diversity. National Centre for Student Equity in Higher Education (NCSEHE) Curtin University
27. Mason, R. (2021). Ethical challenges in online internships: Balancing data security and student privacy. Journal of Ethics in Education, 16(2), 104-121.
28. McRae, N., & Johnston, N. (2021). Re-imagining work-integrated learning in a post-COVID world: A framework for sustainable practice. International Journal of Work-Integrated Learning, 22(4), 381–392.

29. Morley, T., et al. (2022). *Impact of COVID-19 on work-integrated learning in social work*. Higher Education Research, 29(4), 112-128.
30. Nguyen, P., & Tran, L. (2022). *Quality assurance in online learning: Lessons from the pandemic*. Journal of Higher Education Policy, 14(1), 67-81.
31. Nguyen, P., Clark, T., & Adams, R. (2021). AI and VR in work-integrated learning: Emerging trends and pedagogical applications. International Journal of Digital Learning, 12(3), 145-160.
32. Patrick, C. J., Peach, D., Pocknee, C., Webb, F., Fletcher, M., & Pretto, G. (2008). The WIL (Work Integrated Learning) report: A national scoping study. Australian Learning and Teaching Council.
33. Patrick, C. J., Peach, D., Pocknee, C., Webb, F., Fletcher, M., & Pretto, G. (2008). The WIL (Work Integrated Learning) report: A national scoping study. Australian Learning and Teaching Council.
34. Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice. Sage publications.
35. Smith, A., & Jones, R. (2021). *Reimagining work-integrated learning in a digital era*. Advances in Education, 12(3), 34-50.
36. Smith, C., Ferns, S., & Russell, L. (2019). Assessing the impact of Work Integrated Learning: Final Report. Australian Government Office for Learning and Teaching. https://ltr.edu.au/resources/ID16-0045_Smith_FinalReport_2019.pdf.
37. Smith, C., Ferns, S., & Russell, L. (2019). Assessing the impact of Work Integrated Learning: Final Report. Australian Government Office for Learning and Teaching.
38. Smith, L., & Betts, A. (2020). Developing guidelines for online work-integrated learning: A systematic review. Education Policy Journal, 35(1), 34-59.
39. Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333–339.
40. Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. British Journal of Management, 14(3), 207–222.
41. Walker, H., & Lloyd, B. (2022). Cybersecurity and ethics in remote work-integrated learning: A critical analysis. Journal of Digital Ethics, 18(2), 82-97.
42. Winchester-Seeto, T., Rowe, A., Mackaway, J., & Andrews, C. (2016). Challenges in assessing workplace learning in placements and internships. Higher Education Research & Development, 35(5), 1015–1028. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2016.113955.