Interpreting Visual Sociology: Methodological Triangulation In Sociological Research

Dr. Amlan Lahiri[1*]

[1*]Asst. Professor, Dept. of Sociology Cluny Women's College, Kalimpong, West Bengal India.
Email: amlansociology@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Amlan Lahiri
*Email: amlansociology@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper explores the complex social and cultural aspects of visual sociology, moving beyond mere observation to critically examine the production, consumption, and interpretation of visual representations. This method is particularly effective in settings like India, where cinema and social media play a significant role in shaping societal norms and identities. The paper advocates for combining visual analysis with other sociological methods—methodological triangulation—to gain a deeper understanding of the meanings within visual imagery. The study focuses on two main areas: analyzing existing visual representations and employing visual methods in research. By examining films, photographs, advertisements, and social media content, researchers can investigate how visuals reflect, reinforce, or challenge social relationships. Additionally, visual sociologists produce materials like documentaries to document and explore social phenomena firsthand. The paper also delves into scopic regimes—cultural frameworks that shape the perception and interpretation of visual representations. Analyzing scopic regimes reveals how filmmakers use visual techniques to highlight social realities and cultural anxieties. Finally, the paper examines Baudrillard's concept of simulacra to understand how representations, disconnected from reality, influence desires and perceptions in a hyperreal world.

Keywords: visual sociology, conjunction, methodological triangulation, scopic regimes, simulacra, hyperreality

Introduction:

An intriguing perspective through which to examine the complex interactions between image and society is provided by the emerging study of visual sociology. It goes beyond merely observing visual media and instead encourages a critical analysis of the production, use, and interpretation of pictures (Rose, 2001). This method reveals the significant impact of visual culture on identities, values, and social conventions, making it a vital resource for comprehending the complex fabric of modern society. New form of media, with its captivating blend of entertainment and social commentary, serves as a prime example. Visual sociology empowers us to move beyond the dazzling song-and-dance sequences that enthrall audiences. By critically analyzing these visual narratives, we can unpack the deeper messages embedded within. Lavish costumes and opulent sets, for instance, become symbolic representations of social hierarchy and wealth, potentially shaping audience perceptions of success and desirability (Narasimhan, 2006). Visual sociology equips us to decipher these visual codes, fostering a nuanced understanding of how cinema reflects and reinforces societal anxieties and aspirations (Hall, 1997).

Conjunction in Visual Sociology:

Visual sociology explores how visual media construct and negotiate social identities (Rose, 2001, p. 4). The variety of social issues that have been studied within visual sociology demonstrates the need to normalize visual analysis as an integral part of modern sociological research. Such normalization also means that to prove most efficient methods of visual sociology should be utilized in conjunction with other methods of social enquiry. Such a conjuncture can allow a more nuanced attention to the ambivalent nature of the photographic image, to the socio-historical contexts of the image, and to any commentary that may be provided by producers and consumers of the image.This approach allows researchers to gain deeper insights into how audiences interpret and engage with visual narratives.The passage highlights the importance of "conjunction" in visual sociology. It argues that visual analysis, while valuable, reaches its full potential when used alongside other research methods. This combined approach offers a richer understanding of the complex layers of meaning embedded within visual imagery.

This approach bridges disparate research methods—like visual analysis and historical research—creating a cohesive framework that enhances our grasp of societal issues. By synergizing these methods, researchers can unravel the multifaceted narratives woven into visual representations, revealing layers of meaning that might otherwise remain obscured.
Imagine a bridge connecting two distinct islands. Each island symbolizes a different research method – visual analysis on one side and, for example, historical research on the other. This bridge, representing conjunction, enables researchers to transition seamlessly between these methods, fostering a more comprehensive understanding of the social issue at hand. For instance, analysing a photograph of a child labourer in a developing country might highlight the child's posture and the

harshness of their environment. However, historical research on child labour practices in that region provides essential context regarding the social and economic forces at play. By combining visual analysis with historical research, a more robust foundation is established for understanding the photograph's significance.

Visual media often carries hidden messages that reflect the social and historical contexts in which they were created. Conjunction empowers researchers to peel back these layers and uncover deeper meanings. Consider a painting depicting a seemingly idyllic rural landscape. Visual analysis might reveal its use of light and colour to convey peace and tranquility. However, historical research could uncover that the land belonged to a wealthy landowner who displaced indigenous people. Combining these approaches reveals a more complex reality – the surface beauty masking a history of injustice.

Visual images are created and interpreted by individuals, each bringing their own perspectives. Conjunction encourages researchers to consider both the creators and the interpreters. For example, analysing a political cartoon might reveal its use of satire to critique a government policy. Interviews with the cartoonist can shed light on their motivations and intended message, while analysing audience reactions on social media can reveal how the cartoon is interpreted by the public. By considering these diverse perspectives, researchers gain a richer understanding of the cartoon's impact and potential for social commentary. In essence, conjunction in visual sociology is a theoretical approach that celebrates the power of collaboration. By combining visual analysis with other research methods, researchers can move beyond simplistic interpretations and unveil the intricate web of meanings embedded within visual representations.

Two core areas of enquiry

Visual sociology, a dynamic field of inquiry, offers a multifaceted lens for examining social life. It transcends the limitations of traditional sociological research, which has often relied heavily on text-based and quantitative analysis (Rose, 2001, p. 1). This article explores the two core areas of inquiry within visual sociology – the analysis of existing visual representations and the use of visual media for documentation and analysis – highlighting their relevance in understanding contemporary Indian society.

The **first** strand of visual sociology focuses on critically examining existing visual media, such as photographs, films, advertisements, and the burgeoning realm of social media content. This approach delves into how these representations reflect, reinforce, or challenge social relations within a specific cultural context (Pink, 2007, p. 14). The rise of social media in India, with its vast production and consumption of visual content, presents a fertile ground for visual sociological exploration. Platforms like Instagram, for instance, offer a curated glimpse into individual lives and social aspirations. Analysing the carefully constructed selfies populating Indian Instagram accounts can reveal anxieties and ambitions associated with social mobility and evolving beauty standards in a rapidly modernizing nation (John &Eshwar, 2018, p. 123). Scholars can examine the visual codes employed – filters, poses, backdrops – and how they signify social status, cultural identity, and desires for upward mobility within the Indian social hierarchy. This analysis can further explore how these portrayals potentially influence viewers' perceptions and social behaviour. Beyond social media, the longstanding tradition of Bollywood cinema offers another rich resource for visual sociological exploration. By critically examining the portrayal of women in these films – their costuming, dialogue, and narrative arcs – researchers can investigate how these representations reinforce or challenge traditional gender norms in Indian society (Grewal, 2005, p. 167). For instance, an analysis might reveal a shift from hyper-feminine portrayals towards characters exhibiting greater agency and independence. This could indicate a reflection of, or perhaps an influence on, changing societal attitudes towards women's roles in contemporary India.

The **second** major area of visual sociology involves sociologists actively creating visual materials, such as photographs or documentaries, to document and explore social phenomena firsthand (Harper, 1988, p. 55). India's rapid urbanization presents numerous social challenges, particularly in the realm of informal settlements. A visual sociologist might employ photo-ethnography to document the living conditions and social networks within these communities. This approach, through its immersive nature, can capture the residents' experiences and social interactions in a way that text-based research may not fully convey (Pink, 2007, p. 152). By visually documenting the crowded living spaces, shared resources, and social gatherings within these settlements, researchers can gain deeper insights into the social fabric of informal communities and the challenges faced by their residents. Another example could involve a video documentary exploring the experiences of street vendors in a bustling Indian city like Mumbai. By capturing the vendors' daily routines, struggles, and social interactions with customers and fellow vendors, the documentary can provide a nuanced understanding of the informal economy and its role within the larger urban social structure (Srinivas&Beteille, 1967). Furthermore, the documentary can document the social networks and support systems that exist among these vendors, highlighting the resilience and adaptability often found within informal communities.

Methodologies in Visual Sociology

Being intrinsically interdisciplinary in its approach, visual sociological studies draw from a variety of theoretical sources and employ various methodological tools, taken from e.g. cultural studies, visual anthropology, semiotics, documentary photography, art history, or sociology. Thereby, due to the aforementioned traditional absence of visual research in sociological inquiry, the field still refers mainly to standard introductory works from other disciplines, like Collier & Collier's classic *Visual Anthropology* (1986), Pink's *Doing Visual Anthropology* (2001), Sturken& Cartwright's *Practices of Looking* (2001), Rose's *Visual Methodologies* (2007), or Prosser's *Image-Based Research* (1998). So far, there have been only a few books and anthologies published that focus exclusively on visual sociology and its particular methodology; examples are Chapin's *Sociology and Visual Representation* (1994) or Bank's *Visual Methods in Sociological Research* (2001). Other works that have achieved a "classical" status to some extent in the field include books and essays by Howard Becker (1974; 1981), John Grady (2001),

Douglas Harper (2002), and John Wagner (2002). According to Rose (2007), the following methodologies have been developed for the study and interpretation of visual culture in society, which by no means are mutually exclusive but on the contrary, are often used in combination by visual researchers.

First are non-participatory methods, mostly concerned with the interpretation of already existing images – like photographs, ads, or film – their content, meaning, and agency in society. When working with such "found images", the first step of analysis often involves either *compositional interpretation,* for a limited number of images, or *content analysis* for the systematic engagement with large numbers of images by developing coding categories relevant for a particular research question. *Semiotics*, or *semiology* (the study of signs)*,* involves an even more detailed analysis of the structure and effects of images in the search for their "visual meaning" beyond mere content and composition, allowing for more attention to reflexivity and contextuality. *Discourse analysis* takes this look outside of the actual image even further by analysing a text's or image's intertextuality, i.e. the way they are "embedded in the practices of institutions and their exercise of power" and thus become part of the production of social difference through discourses as well. *Photo-documentation* is the only research method in this category not making use of 'found images' but instead involves the researcher systematically taking pictures (or using video recordings) as evidence for subsequent interpretation in light of his or her research question.

Second, participatory methods extend their focus to involve the perceptions and interpretations of viewers and users of images. For example, *audience studies* use both interviews and ethnography to explore the ways various kinds of 'audiences' decode images[1] and engage with them by taking into consideration viewer's social modalities and existing social power relations. *Photo-elicitation* is "based on the simple idea of inserting a photograph into a research interview" (Harper 2002: 13) to evoke memories and associations, to prompt more intense conversation and eventually give deeper insight into participants' feelings, experiences and interpretations. The images used in photo-elicitation can either be "found objects", or be taken by the researcher or by the researched. In case of the latter, studies often make use of a method called *photo-voice:* after initial interviews, participants are given cameras to document certain aspects of their lives; those pictures are then analyzed and interpreted using photo-elicitation. A variation of photo-voice are studies using moving images, e.g. by asking participants to keep video diaries relating to a particular research topic. It should be said that photo-documentation, photo-elicitation and photo-voice – as well as their variant using video or documentary film – are the most widely used methodologies in visual sociology to date.

Triangulation: Vision-Visuality, Scopic regime and Simulacrum
Our understanding of sight transcends the purely biological. While vision refers to the physical process of capturing light and transmitting signals to the brain (Matin, 1974, p. 12), visuality encompasses the social, historical, and cultural context that shapes how we interpret those signals. This distinction isn't a binary opposition; vision itself is a product of social and historical forces. For example, cultural expectations can influence how we focus our attention. Daston and Galison (2007) document how Renaissance artists, trained in meticulous drawing techniques, honed their perceptual abilities to discern subtle details invisible to the untrained eye (p. 121). Conversely, visuality intricately intertwines with the body and psyche. Cultural norms can influence what body parts are considered beautiful or appropriate to display, shaping what we are "allowed" to see (Mulvey, 1989, p. 19).

The difference between vision and visuality highlights a deeper division within the realm of the visual. It separates the biological mechanics of sight from the historically constructed techniques of visual interpretation. The raw data our eyes receive (vision) differs from the discursive frameworks that shape how we understand it (visuality). Consider fashion photography. The photographer captures a model's physical form through the lens (vision). However, the lighting, composition, and styling choices all contribute to a specific message about beauty, gender, or social status (visuality). This message is informed by historical trends, cultural expectations, and the photographer's artistic choices.

Consider the difference between a scientific diagram and a painting. Both involve visual information, but their purposes differ. A scientific diagram aims to present information objectively, prioritizing clarity and accuracy (vision). In contrast, a painting prioritizes emotional impact or artistic expression, employing symbolism, color, and composition to convey a message beyond the purely visual (visuality). This message is shaped by the artist's background, the artistic movement they belong to, and the intended audience. These examples illustrate how visuality operates on multiple levels. It encompasses how we see the world around us, influenced by cultural expectations and social norms. It also shapes how we interpret visual information, with historical frameworks guiding our understanding. Finally, visuality involves how we perceive the act of seeing itself, including the limitations and biases inherent in human perception.

Scopic Regimes: The concept of scopic regimes, introduced by Christian Metz, challenges the notion of universal vision. It posits that cultural frameworks shape how we see and interpret visual representations (Metz, 1977, p. 185). In general term scopic regime refers to a set of cultural norms and practices that shape how we see and interpret visual representations. It argues that the act of seeing is not universal, but rather constructed by the specific cultural context. A scopic regime can be understood as the dominant way of seeing within a particular culture at a specific time. It encompasses not just how individuals see, but also how visual media like film, photography, and painting construct and reinforce certain ways of seeing. This concept offers a powerful lens for analysing Indian cinema, where filmmakers like Satyajit Ray and RitwikGhatak employed distinct scopic regimes to illuminate social realities and cultural anxieties. Satyajit Ray's films, known for their nuanced portrayal of everyday life in India, often deploy an observational gaze. This scopic regime positions the viewer as a

detached observer, immersing them in the world of the characters without imposing a judgmental perspective. For instance, in "PatherPanchali" (Song of the Road), Ray a use long takes and static shots, focusing on the beauty and challenges of rural life (Ray, 1955). This approach allows the viewer to form their own interpretations of the characters' experiences and the social dynamics at play. The observational gaze empowers the audience, fostering empathy and a nuanced understanding of the characters' humanity. In contrast, RitwikGhatak employs a Brechtian gaze, named after the German playwright Bertolt Brecht.

This scopic regime intentionally disrupts the narrative flow, reminding viewers of the constructed nature of the film itself. Ghatak achieves this through techniques like direct address by actors, breaking the fourth wall, and employing jarring cuts. In his film "Meghe Dhaka Tara" (The Cloud-Capped Star), Ghatak uses fragmented narratives and shifting temporality to depict the tumultuous socio-political climate of post-colonial India (Ghatak, 1960). This approach forces viewers to actively engage with the film, questioning their assumptions and prompting them to consider the broader social context. Scopic regimes extend beyond cultural frameworks to address issues of power within social structures. The concept of the "male gaze," critiqued by feminist film theorists, often positions the female body as an object of desire for the male viewer (Mulvey, 1975). However, both Ray and Ghatak challenge this dominant gaze in their films. Ray, while not without complexities, often portrays female characters with agency and depth. In "Charulata" (The Lonely Wife), he depicts the emotional journey of a woman yearning for intellectual and emotional fulfillment, subverting the expectation of passive femininity (Ray, 1964). Similarly, Ghatak's films often feature strong female characters who defy societal norms. In "TitasEkti Nadir Naam" (The Name of a River), he presents women as central figures in the struggle for social justice, challenging the male-centric power structure (Ghatak, 1973).

Bollywood, known for its song-and-dance routines and melodramatic narratives, often adopts a classical Hollywood gaze. This scopic regime prioritizes smooth narrative flow, clear identification with the protagonist, and a focus on visual spectacle. This is evident in films like "DilwaleDulhania Le Jayenge" (The Braveheart Will Take the Bride), where the camera lingers on the lead couple, emphasizing their romantic connection and beauty (Aditya Chopra, 1995). The gaze is controlled, guiding the viewer's emotions and ensuring a satisfying resolution. However, there's a growing trend of Bollywood films employing a more subversive gaze. Directors like AnuragKashyap utilize a gritty, handheld camerawork and fragmented narratives, reflecting the chaotic realities of urban life in films like "Gangs of Wasseypur" (Kashyap, 2012). This approach challenges the smooth flow of the classical gaze, forcing viewers to engage actively with the film's social commentary. Films like "Queen" (Queen) present a refreshing alternative.

Directed by VikasBahl, the film adopts the gaze of its female protagonist, Rani, who embarks on a solo honeymoon trip to Europe after her fiancé calls off their wedding (Bahl& Khan, 2014). The camera follows Rani's experiences, highlighting her personal growth and sense of self-discovery. This shift in perspective challenges the male gaze and empowers female viewers. It's important to acknowledge the persistent idolization of male stars in Bollywood, which can contribute to a hero-centric gaze. The camera often lingers on the male lead, emphasizing his physical prowess and heroism. However, some films are starting to deconstruct this trope. For instance, "PK" by RajkumarHirani satirizes the idolization of religious leaders, questioning the hero worship ingrained in certain segments of Indian society (Hirani&Kapoor, 2014).Scopic regimes dismantle the notion of a universal gaze, revealing how our interpretation of visual representations is intricately woven with the cultural fabric we inhabit. These frameworks, shaped by historical context, social norms, and power dynamics, influence what we deem "seeable" and how we decipher visual stimuli. By analysing scopic regimes, we move beyond mere description of images and delve into the underlying messages and cultural forces that shape our visual experience.

Simulacrum:
simulacra or simulacrums, The concept of simulacra, introduced by French theorist Jean Baudrillard, offers a powerful lens for understanding how representations can become detached from reality. Simulacra, derived from the Latin word for "likeness," transcend mere copies (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 146). They are not simply imitations of something real, but rather self-referential representations that have become their own truth. Baudrillard argues that simulacra create a hyperreality, a realm where the distinction between the real and the unreal blurs (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 146). These hyperreal representations can then shape our desires, perceptions, and understanding of the world around us.

Moving beyond traditional notions of copies, Baudrillard proposes a framework that categorizes simulacra into four orders (Baudrillard, 1983, pp. 146-147). The first order represents a faithful reflection of reality, such as a portrait that accurately depicts a person. The second order involves a distortion of reality, exemplified by a caricature that exaggerates features for comedic effect. The third order presents a pretense of reality, where there is no original to copy. For instance, a computer-generated image of a pristine beach may not exist in the real world. The most intriguing order is the fourth, where the simulacrum has no connection to any original reality whatsoever. This is the realm of the hyperreal, a space where advertisements frequently reside. Here, idealized portrayals are presented as real, blurring the lines between perception and construction.

Jean Baudrillard argues that a simulacrum is not a copy of the real, but becomes truth in its own right: the hyperreal (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 146). According to Baudrillard, what the simulacrum copies either had no original or no longer has an original. Since a simulacrum signifies something it is not, it leaves the original unable to be located (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 147). This is a departure from Plato's view of representation, which saw two types: faithful and intentionally distorted

(simulacrum) (Simondon, 2017, p. 12). Baudrillard proposes a more nuanced framework with four orders (Baudrillard, 1983, pp. 146-147). The first order represents a basic reflection of reality, such as a portrait that accurately depicts a person. The second order involves a perversion of reality, exemplified by a caricature that exaggerates features for comedic effect. The third order presents a pretense of reality, where there is no original to copy. For instance, a computer-generated image of a pristine beach may not exist in the real world. The most intriguing order is the fourth, where the simulacrum has no connection to any original reality whatsoever. This is the realm of the hyperreal, a space where advertisements frequently reside. Here, idealized portrayals are presented as real, blurring the lines between perception and construction. In Baudrillard's concept, like Nietzsche's, simulacra are perceived as negative, potentially distorting our sense of reality (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 146).

However, another modern philosopher, Gilles Deleuze, takes a different view. Deleuze sees simulacra as the avenue by which an accepted ideal or "privileged position" could be "challenged and overturned" (Deleuze, 1988, p. 256). Deleuze defines simulacra as "those systems in which different relates to different by means of difference itself. What is essential is that we find in these systems no prior identity, no internal resemblance" (Deleuze, 1988, p. 257).

Building on Jean Baudrillard's concept of simulacra, this analysis explores how advertisements utilize various orders of simulation to construct hyperreal experiences for consumers. While some advertisements, like those depicting idealized fast food or pristine beaches, fall under the third order by presenting a simulacrum with no original (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 147). Others, particularly those for beauty products or luxury cars, exemplify the fourth order, the hyperreal, by creating a completely fabricated reality with no connection to the actual product or service (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 147). However, as Gilles Deleuzesuggests, simulacra can also serve as a tool for critique. Parody advertisements and the rise of "imperfect" imagery on social media challenge the idealized portrayals of traditional advertising, potentially disrupting established consumer desires (Deleuze, 1988, p. 256). By examining these diverse uses of simulacra, we gain a deeper understanding of how advertisements function not just to sell products, but also to shape cultural perceptions and societal norms.

Conclusion:
Visual sociology has become a crucial tool for examining the intricate relationship between images and society in contemporary India. By moving beyond passive observation, it allows for a critical analysis of how visual media is created, distributed, and interpreted. This approach highlights the significant impact of visual culture on social norms, values, and identity formation in a rapidly transforming nation. The analysis of visual media uncovers the complex layers of meaning embedded in images. What might appear as a simple photograph or film scene on the surface can be deeply analyzed through visual sociology to reveal hidden messages and social commentary. This often involves methodological triangulation, where visual analysis is combined with other research methods like surveys or interviews, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the interaction between images and the social world they depict.

One of the most compelling applications of visual sociology in India is in the realm of popular cinema. Bollywood films, known for their mass appeal and cultural significance, offer rich material for exploration. For instance, analyzing the portrayal of women in these films allows researchers to examine how these representations reinforce or challenge traditional gender norms in Indian society. Similarly, the distinct scopic regimes used by filmmakers like Satyajit Ray and RitwikGhatak reveal social realities and anxieties unique to their historical contexts.However, the influence of visual media extends beyond cinema. Visual sociology also involves creating original visual materials, such as photo-ethnographies, to document and explore social phenomena firsthand. These visual narratives, capturing the lived experiences and interactions of communities, provide a powerful complement to traditional text-based research. The concept of simulacra, where representations become detached from reality, offers a valuable framework for analyzing contemporary visual culture in India. Advertising, for example, thrives on creating aspirational imagery that promises happiness and success. These advertisements often construct hyperreal worlds that borrow elements of Indian culture while presenting them in an idealized and sometimes inauthentic manner. Constant exposure to these hyperreal portrayals can lead viewers to internalize unrealistic expectations and potentially distort their understanding of reality.

In conclusion, visual sociology offers a multifaceted lens for understanding contemporary India. By critically examining the power of images, both as objects of analysis and research tools, it helps navigate the complexities of an evolving visual landscape. This approach provides invaluable insights into the social fabric of India, revealing how visual culture shapes and reflects the nation's identity, anxieties, and aspirations. As India continues to navigate its dynamic social and cultural landscape, visual sociology will remain an essential tool for understanding the ever-changing visual narratives shaping the nation's future.

References:
1. Aditya Chopra, Director. (1995). DilwaleDulhania Le Jayenge [Film].Yash Raj Films.
2. Bahl, V., & Khan, K.A. (Producers). (2014). Queen [Film]. Phantom Films.
3. Baudrillard, J. (1983). Simulacra and Simulation.University of Michigan Press. (pp. 146-147)
4. Deleuze, G. (1988). Difference and Repetition (P. Patton, Trans.).Columbia University Press. (p. 256)
5. Daston, Lorraine &Galison, Peter. (2007). Objectivity.Zone Books. (p. 121)
6. Grewal, J. (2005). Autobiography of a Genre: History, Gender, and Narrative in Indian Cinema. Duke University Press. (p. 167, 168)
7. Hall, S. (1997). Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices. Sage Publications. (p. 2)

8. Harper, D. (1988).Visual Sociology.Sage Publications. (p. 55, 33)
9. Hirani, R., &Kapoor, V. (Producers).(2014). PK [Film].RajkumarHirani Films.
10. John, A., &Eshwar, A. (2018). Social Media and Everyday Life in India: An Ethnographic Study. Routledge. (p. 123)
11. Matin, Leonard. (1974). Why We See What We Do. Psychology Today, 8(4), 12-22, 70-78. (p. 12)
12. Metz, C. (1977). The Imaginary Signifier: Psychoanalysis and the Cinema (Trans. Hays, I.). Indiana University Press. (p. 185)
13. Mulvey, Laura. (1989). Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema.Indiana University Press. (p. 19)
14. Narasimhan, A. (2006). Indian Popular Cinema: A Narrative of Change. Palgrave Macmillan. (p. 142)
15. Pink, S. (2007). Doing Visual Ethnography (2nd ed.). London: SAGE Publications.
16. Ray, S. (Director). (1955). PatherPanchali [Film].Government of India Films Division.
17. Ray, S. (Director). (1964). Charulata [Film].Rupa Films.
18. Rose, G. (2001). Visual Methodologies: An Introduction to Researching with Visual Materials. Sage Publications. (pp. 1, 4)
19. Simondon, J. (2017). On the Genesis of the Individual.University of Minnesota Press. (p. 12)
20. The SAGE Handbook of Visual Research Methods. (2013). (J. Banks, ed.). Sage Publications. (pp. 12, 21)