Kurdish Studies
Jan 2024
Volume: 12, No: 1, pp.4870-4880
ISSN: 2051-4883 (Print) | ISSN 2051-4891 (Online)
www.KurdishStudies.net

Received: October 2023 Accepted: December 2023
DOI: https://doi.org/10.58262/ks.v12i1.352

User-Centered Smart Environments: Advanced Research on the Integration of User Preferences and Artificial Intelligence for Personalized Residential Interior Design Solutions

G. A. Alamry*[1], L. M. Elwakeel[2]

Abstract

From smart homes to intelligent workplaces, the integration of cutting-edge technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) has paved the way for innovative solutions that enhance comfort, convenience, and efficiency. In this era of digital transformation, the potential of user-centered smart environments for residential interior design remains a compelling area of research. This article explores the advanced research on the integration of user preferences and artificial intelligence to develop personalized residential interior design solutions. We delve into the existing landscape, identify research gaps, and outline the research objectives that drive the need for this study. The comprehensive methodology for this research involves conducting a literature review to identify key principles and challenges, interviewing experts for insights, conducting a user study to identify needs and preferences, developing a framework based on these findings, implementing AI-powered algorithms for personalization, assessing usability in a real-world setting, conducting a SWOT analysis, interviewing stakeholders for recommendations, and developing a roadmap for the adoption of user-centered smart environments. This study has identified key principles, considerations, and challenges in the design and development of smart environments that prioritize user needs and preferences. These principles emphasize the importance of user involvement, personalization, privacy, security, accessibility, and affordability in the creation of smart living spaces. Additionally, challenges such as technical complexity, the lack of standards, and the crucial aspect of user acceptance were highlighted. This research sets the stage for future endeavors aimed at enhancing the quality of life within smart homes, while also addressing ethical concerns and promoting user satisfaction.

Keywords: *User-centered smart environments, residential interior design, artificial intelligence, smart homes, digital integration*

Introduction

The concept of smart environments has rapidly gained prominence in recent years, revolutionizing the way we interact with and experience our surroundings (Al-Fuqaha et al., 2015; Atzori et al., 2010). From smart homes to intelligent workplaces, the integration of cutting-edge technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) has paved the way for innovative solutions that enhance comfort, convenience, and efficiency (Caragliu et al., 2011; Bibri, 2018). In this era of digital transformation, the potential of user-centered smart environments for residential interior design remains a compelling area of research. This article explores the

[1] Department of Home economics, Faculty of Art and Science, king Khalid University,Abha 62529, Saudi Arabia, Email: galamri@kku.edu.sa
[2] Department of Home Economics, Faculty of Science and Arts in Tihama, King Khalid University, Muhayil Asir 61913, Saudi Arabia
Email: lalwakel@kku.edu.sa

advanced research on the integration of user preferences and artificial intelligence to develop personalized residential interior design solutions. We delve into the existing landscape, identify research gaps, and outline the research objectives that drive the need for this study.

The evolution of technology has redefined our lifestyles, and one of the most impactful domains of this transformation is the way we design and inhabit our living spaces (Mehmood et al., 2017). Smart environments, characterized by their ability to sense, adapt, and respond to human needs, have emerged as a pivotal innovation (Katuk et al., 2018). These environments encompass a wide spectrum of applications, ranging from smart homes, where automation systems control lighting, heating, and security (Ray, P. P. 2018), to smart cities that optimize traffic flow and energy consumption (Caragliu et al., 2011). Within this paradigm, the focus on personalized residential interior design stands as an area ripe for exploration.

The Rise of Smart Homes

Smart homes are at the forefront of this transformative wave. They leverage interconnected devices, sensors, and AI algorithms to create intelligent living spaces that cater to individual preferences and routines (Jara et al., 2011). Contemporary smart homes feature an array of innovative technologies, including voice-activated assistants, smart thermostats, and integrated entertainment systems, which seamlessly integrate into daily life (Atzori et al., 2010). These technologies enhance convenience, energy efficiency, and security, while also offering unprecedented opportunities for personalization in residential interior design.

Personalization in Residential Interior Design

Personalized interior design has always been a hallmark of creating a truly comfortable and inviting home (Pena et al., 2021). It reflects an individual's unique tastes, preferences, and lifestyle, resulting in spaces that resonate with their occupants on a profound level. However, achieving this level of personalization in traditional interior design processes often requires significant time, effort, and expertise. Herein lies the promise of AI and smart environments in residential design.

The Role of Artificial Intelligence

AI, with its capabilities in data analysis, pattern recognition, and machine learning, offers a transformative solution for personalizing residential interior design (Bibri, 2018). By harnessing AI, designers and homeowners can analyze vast datasets of design trends, color palettes, furniture styles, and user preferences to generate interior design proposals tailored to individual tastes (Pena et al., 2021). Machine learning models can adapt and refine their recommendations over time, continuously improving the alignment between design and user preferences.

Research Gap

While the integration of user preferences and AI in residential interior design holds immense promise, there is a noticeable research gap in this field that necessitates further exploration.

Currently, there is a lack of comprehensive solutions that seamlessly combine user preferences with AI-driven design in residential settings (Bibri, 2018). While there are numerous AI tools and platforms available for design assistance, many of these are limited in scope and do not account for the full spectrum of user preferences and lifestyle considerations. As a result, homeowners often find themselves navigating a fragmented landscape of technologies and services, leading to suboptimal design outcomes.

Many existing AI-based interior design solutions fall short in delivering truly personalized

experiences (Pena et al., 2021). They may offer recommendations based on broad design trends or simplistic user input, but fail to capture the nuances of individual preferences. This shortfall is evident in the disconnect between what AI recommends and what users truly desire in their living spaces. Bridging this gap between AI capabilities and user expectations represents a critical challenge.

The integration of AI in residential interior design also raises important ethical and privacy concerns (Stolojescu-Crisan, C., Crisan, C., & Butunoi, B. P. 2021). As AI systems collect and analyze user data to inform design decisions, questions arise about data security, consent, and the potential for unintended bias in design recommendations. Addressing these concerns is essential to ensure that the benefits of smart interior design solutions are realized without compromising individual privacy and autonomy.

While research on smart environments and AI in interior design is burgeoning, there is a paucity of studies that focus specifically on user-centered smart environments in residential settings (Mehmood et al., 2017). Understanding how AI can be harnessed to create environments that not only respond to user preferences but actively engage users in the design process is a significant research gap. Moreover, few studies explore the long-term user satisfaction and adaptability of AI-driven interior design solutions.

Research Objectives

To address the aforementioned research gap, this study sets forth the following research objectives:

- ✓ Develop a framework for designing and evaluating user-centered smart environments.
- ✓ Develop and implement AI-powered algorithms for personalizing the smart environment to the individual needs and preferences of occupants.
- ✓ Conduct a user study to assess the usability and effectiveness of the proposed framework and algorithms.
- ✓ Develop a roadmap for the adoption of user-centered smart environments in real-world settings.

In this article, we will delve deeper into these research objectives and methodologies to shed light on the advanced research in the integration of user preferences and artificial intelligence for personalized residential interior design solutions.

Methodology

To achieve the research objectives of developing an integrated framework, enhancing personalization, addressing ethical concerns, and evaluating long-term user satisfaction in the context of personalized residential interior design within smart homes, the following comprehensive methodology will be employed:

- ✓ Conduct a literature review on user-centered design, smart environments, and artificial intelligence to identify the key principles, considerations, and challenges.
- ✓ Interview experts in the field of user-centered smart environments to gather their insights and recommendations.
- ✓ Conduct a user study to identify the key needs and preferences of occupants in a user-centered smart environment.
- ✓ Develop a framework for designing and evaluating user-centered smart environments,

based on the findings of the literature review, interviews, and user study.

- ✓ Develop and implement AI-powered algorithms for personalizing the smart environment to the individual needs and preferences of occupants.
- ✓ Deploy the proposed framework and algorithms in a real-world smart environment and conduct a user study to assess their usability and effectiveness.
- ✓ Conduct a SWOT analysis to identify the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats to the adoption of user-centered smart environments in real-world settings.
- ✓ Interview stakeholders to gather their insights and recommendations on how to promote the adoption of user-centered smart environments.
- ✓ Develop a roadmap for the adoption of user-centered smart environments in real-world settings, based on the findings of the SWOT analysis, interviews, and user studies.

By employing this holistic methodology, the research aims to advance the integration of user preferences and artificial intelligence for personalized residential interior design solutions within the context of user-centered smart environments, addressing research gaps and achieving the outlined objectives.

Results

Literature Review and Expert Interviews

The literature review and expert interviews identified the following key principles and considerations for designing user-centered smart environments:

User involvement: Users should be involved throughout the design and development process to ensure that the smart environment meets their needs and preferences.

Personalization: The smart environment should be personalized to the individual needs and preferences of each occupant.

Privacy and security: The smart environment should protect the privacy and security of users' data.

Accessibility: The smart environment should be accessible to all occupants, including those with disabilities.

Affordability: The smart environment should be affordable for a wide range of users.

The literature review and expert interviews also identified the following challenges to the design and development of user-centered smart environments:

Technical complexity: The design and development of user-centered smart environments is complex, as it requires the integration of multiple technologies and disciplines.

Lack of standards: There is a lack of standards for user-centered smart environments, which can make it difficult to develop and deploy these systems.

User acceptance: It is important to ensure that users are willing and able to accept and use user-centered smart environments.

User Study

The user study was conducted with a group of 20 participants who were representative of the target population for user-centered smart environments (i.e., homeowners and renters of all ages and abilities). The participants were asked to complete a survey and participate in an

interview.

The survey results showed that the participants were highly interested in user-centered smart environments. The majority of participants (90%) reported that they would be willing to pay more for a home with a user-centered smart environment.

The interview results revealed the following key needs and preferences of occupants in a user-centered smart environment:

Personalization: Occupants want the smart environment to be personalized to their individual needs and preferences. For example, they want to be able to control the lighting, temperature, and entertainment system in their homes to create a comfortable and enjoyable environment.

Ease of use: Occupants want the smart environment to be easy to use. They do not want to have to learn complex commands or procedures to interact with the smart environment.

Reliability: Occupants want the smart environment to be reliable and dependable. They do not want to have to worry about the smart environment malfunctioning or not working properly.

Privacy and security: Occupants want the smart environment to protect their privacy and security. They do not want to have to worry about their personal data being collected or used without their consent.

Development and evaluation of the proposed framework and algorithms

The proposed framework and algorithms for designing and evaluating user-centered smart environments were implemented in a real-world smart environment and evaluated by a group of 10 participants. The participants were asked to complete a series of tasks and provide feedback on the usability and effectiveness of the framework and algorithms.

The evaluation results showed that the framework and algorithms were effective in meeting the needs of occupants. The participants were able to easily personalize the smart environment to their individual needs and preferences. The participants also reported that the framework and algorithms were reliable and dependable.

Table 1 shows the key needs and preferences of occupants in a user-centered smart environment, as identified by the user study.

Table 1: Needs and Preferences of Occupants in a User-Centered Smart Environment.

Need/preference	Description
Personalization	Occupants want the smart environment to be personalized to their individual needs and preferences.
Ease of use	Occupants want the smart environment to be easy to use.
Reliability	Occupants want the smart environment to be reliable and dependable
Privacy and security	Occupants want the smart environment to protect their privacy and security.
Affordability	Occupants want the smart environment to be affordable.

Table 2 shows the evaluation results for the proposed framework and algorithms.

Table 2: Evaluation Results for the Proposed Framework and Algorithms.

Evaluation metric	Results
Usability	The participants were able to easily personalize the smart environment to their individual needs and preferences.
Effectiveness	The participants reported that the framework and algorithms were effective in meeting their needs.
Reliability	The participants reported that the framework and algorithms were reliable and dependable.

User Study Findings

Personalization

The user study findings clearly highlight the paramount importance of personalization in user-centered smart environments. Occupants desire the ability to tailor their surroundings to their specific needs and preferences. This encompasses control over lighting, temperature, and entertainment systems, all of which contribute to creating a comfortable and enjoyable living environment. The desire for personalization underscores the need for smart environments to be adaptable, allowing for real-time adjustments based on individual preferences.

Ease of Use

User-friendliness emerged as another critical factor in the user study. Occupants expressed a strong preference for smart environments that are intuitive and easy to use. This aligns with the principle of user involvement identified in the literature review and expert interviews, emphasizing the importance of including users in the design process. Smart environments should not require occupants to learn complex commands or procedures, as this can hinder user acceptance.

Reliability

Reliability and dependability were also highlighted in the study results. Occupants expect smart environments to function consistently without malfunctions or errors. This reliability factor is closely tied to user trust and satisfaction. Users should not have to worry about the smart environment failing to perform as expected, as this could lead to frustration and decreased acceptance.

Privacy and Security

The findings underline occupants' concerns about privacy and security in user-centered smart environments. Occupants expect these environments to safeguard their personal data and ensure that data collection and usage occur with their consent. This aligns with the literature's emphasis on privacy and security considerations, emphasizing the need for robust data privacy measures, including encryption and data anonymization.

Affordability

While not mentioned explicitly in the literature review or expert interviews, affordability emerged as a significant factor in the user study. The majority of participants expressed willingness to pay more for a home with a user-centered smart environment. This indicates that while users desire advanced features and personalization, they also expect these systems

to be reasonably priced and accessible to a broad range of users.

Evaluation of the Proposed Framework and Algorithms

The evaluation of the proposed framework and algorithms within a real-world smart environment yielded promising results:

Usability

Participants found the framework and algorithms highly usable. They were able to easily personalize the smart environment to their individual needs and preferences, aligning with the core principle of personalization. This usability factor is crucial as it ensures that users can interact with the system efficiently and effectively, resulting in a positive user experience.

Effectiveness

The participants' feedback indicated that the framework and algorithms were effective in meeting their needs. This effectiveness stems from the adaptive nature of the algorithms, which dynamically adjust environmental factors to align with individual preferences and real-time conditions. Users' ability to achieve their desired level of personalization reflects the success of the proposed approach.

Reliability

Reliability and dependability were also confirmed by the participants. They reported that the framework and algorithms worked consistently, instilling confidence in the system's performance. This aligns with user expectations for smart environments to be reliable and free from technical issues.

In summary, the user study findings emphasize the critical role of personalization, ease of use, reliability, privacy, security, and affordability in user-centered smart environments. These factors should be central considerations in the design and implementation of such systems. The evaluation of the proposed framework and algorithms validated their usability, effectiveness, and reliability, indicating their potential to fulfill occupants' needs and preferences effectively.

These insights provide valuable guidance for the development of user-centered smart environments and can inform the subsequent stages of the research and system refinement.

Discussion

Now we discuss our findings in light of previous researches to enhance the validity of our results.

User Involvement

The principle of involving users throughout the design and development process aligns with prior research emphasizing user-centered design principles. For instance, Vesin et al. (2018) argued that involving users in the design and development process leads to more user-friendly and effective systems. Previous studies have shown that user involvement leads to better user satisfaction and higher system usability (Nurgaliyev et al., 2017).

Personalization

The user study findings strongly support the principle of personalization, echoing the growing emphasis on tailoring smart environments to individual occupant preferences. Schulze, E., & Zirk, A. (2014 noted in their research that personalization enhances user experiences and system usability. Burzagli et al. (2021) found that personalization positively impacts user satisfaction and engagement, aligning with the user study's findings.

Privacy and Security

The emphasis on privacy and security in user-centered smart environments reflects the ongoing concern in research and industry regarding the responsible handling of user data. Hermann et al., (2009) highlighted the importance of privacy and security in smart environments. They emphasized the need for robust data privacy measures, including encryption and transparent user consent mechanisms (Cook, D., & Das, S. K. 2004).

Accessibility

While not explicitly mentioned in the user study findings, the principle of accessibility is integral in user-centered design. Zaki, M., & Forbrig, P. (2011) stressed the significance of making smart environments accessible to all occupants, including those with disabilities. Previous research has highlighted the importance of designing interfaces that are easy to use and providing alternative interaction methods to accommodate diverse needs (Karie et al., 2021).

Affordability

Affordability, a factor not initially highlighted in the literature review or expert interviews but apparent in the user study, is a significant consideration. Chin et al., (2019) explored the challenge of balancing advanced smart features with affordability. They found that affordability is a key factor in the adoption of smart systems, aligning with the user study's findings.

Technical Complexity

The challenge of technical complexity in the design and development of user-centered smart environments resonates with previous research. Vermesan, O., & Friess, P. (Eds.) (2013 discussed the complexities of integrating multiple technologies and disciplines in smart environments. They highlighted the need for standardized protocols to reduce complexity and ensure smooth system integration.

Lack of Standards

The lack of standards for user-centered smart environments, as highlighted in prior research, presents a significant challenge. Chin et al., (2019) emphasized the importance of establishing industry standards to promote interoperability and seamless integration of smart devices and systems. They argued that standards are essential for the development and deployment of user-centered smart environments.

User Acceptance

Ensuring user acceptance, as emphasized in earlier research, remains a critical factor in the successful adoption of user-centered smart environments. Vesin et al. (2018) discussed the importance of addressing user needs, preferences, and concerns to foster long-term acceptance and satisfaction. The high level of interest and willingness to pay more for user-centered smart environments found in the user study suggests positive user acceptance trends.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this research has delved into the intricate domain of user-centered smart environments, aiming to bridge the gap between user preferences and artificial intelligence for personalized residential interior design solutions. Through an extensive literature review, expert interviews, and a user study, this study has identified key principles, considerations, and challenges in the design and development of smart environments that prioritize user needs and preferences. These principles emphasize the importance of user involvement, personalization, privacy, security, accessibility, and affordability in the creation of smart living spaces. Additionally, challenges such as technical complexity, the lack of standards, and the crucial aspect of user acceptance were highlighted.

The user study findings provided valuable insights into the specific needs and preferences of occupants within user-centered smart environments, reinforcing the significance of personalization, ease of use, reliability, privacy, security, and affordability. The high level of interest and willingness to invest in user-centered smart environments among participants underscored the potential for widespread user acceptance and adoption.

Furthermore, the development and evaluation of the proposed framework and algorithms demonstrated their effectiveness in meeting user needs, offering personalization, and ensuring reliability. These findings contribute to the growing body of knowledge on user-centered smart environments and provide a foundation for further research and innovation in this evolving field.

As smart technologies continue to transform our living spaces, the integration of user preferences and artificial intelligence becomes increasingly vital. This research sets the stage for future endeavors aimed at enhancing the quality of life within smart homes, while also addressing ethical concerns and promoting user satisfaction. Ultimately, the user-centered smart environments envisioned in this study have the potential to revolutionize residential interior design, offering occupants a more personalized and enriching living experience.

Funding

The current work was assisted financially to the Dean of Science and Research at King Khalid University via the Large Group Project under grant number RGP 2/389/44.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through large Groups Project under grant number RGP2/389 /44.

References

Al-Fuqaha, A., Guizani, M., Mohammadi, M., Aledhari, M., & Ayyash, M. (2015). Internet of things: A survey on enabling technologies, protocols, and applications. IEEE communications surveys & tutorials, 17(4), 2347-2376.

Atzori, L., Iera, A., & Morabito, G. (2010). The internet of things: a survey. Computer Networks, 54(15), 2787-2805. doi: 10.1016/j.comnet.2010.05.010

Bibri, S. E. (2018). The IoT for smart sustainable cities of the future: An analytical framework for sensor-based big data applications for environmental sustainability. Sustainable cities and society, 38, 230-253.

Burzagli, L., Emiliani, P. L., Antona, M., & Stephanidis, C. (2021). Intelligent environments for all: a path towards technology-enhanced human well-being. Universal Access in the Information Society, 1-20.

Caragliu, A., Del Bo, C., & Nijkamp, P. (2011). Smart cities in Europe. Journal of urban technology, 18(2), 65-82.

Chin, J., Callaghan, V., & Allouch, S. B. (2019). The Internet-of-Things: Reflections on the past, present and future from a user-centered and smart environment perspective. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Smart Environments, 11(1), 45-69.

Cook, D., & Das, S. K. (2004). Smart environments: technology, protocols, and applications (Vol. 43). John Wiley & Sons.

Hermann, F., Blach, R., Janssen, D., Klein, T., Schuller, A., & Spath, D. (2009). Challenges for user centered smart environments. In Human-Computer Interaction. Ambient, Ubiquitous and Intelligent Interaction: 13th International Conference, HCI International 2009, San Diego, CA, USA, July 19-24, 2009, Proceedings, Part III 13 (pp. 407-415). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Jara, A. J., Zamora, M. A., & Skarmeta, A. F. (2011). An internet of things–based personal device for diabetes therapy management in ambient assisted living (AAL). Personal and Ubiquitous Computing, 15, 431-440.

Karie, N. M., Sahri, N. M., Yang, W., Valli, C., & Kebande, V. R. (2021). A review of security standards and frameworks for IoT-based smart environments. IEEE Access, 9, 121975-121995.

Katuk, N., Ku-Mahamud, K. R., Zakaria, N. H., & Maarof, M. A. (2018, April). Implementation and recent progress in cloud-based smart home automation systems. In 2018 IEEE Symposium on Computer Applications & Industrial Electronics (ISCAIE) (pp. 71-77). IEEE.

Mehmood, Y., Ahmad, F., Yaqoob, I., Adnane, A., Imran, M., & Guizani, S. (2017). Internet-of-things-based smart cities: Recent advances and challenges. IEEE Communications Magazine, 55(9), 16-24.

Nurgaliyev, K., Di Mauro, D., Khan, N., & Augusto, J. C. (2017, August). Improved multi-user interaction in a smart environment through a preference-based conflict resolution virtual assistant. In 2017 International Conference on Intelligent Environments (IE) (pp. 100-107). IEEE.

Pena, M. L. C., Carballal, A., Rodríguez-Fernández, N., Santos, I., & Romero, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence applied to conceptual design. A review of its use in architecture. Automation in Construction, 124, 103550.

Ray, P. P. (2018). A survey on Internet of Things architectures. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences, 30(3), 291-319.

Schulze, E., & Zirk, A. (2014). Personalized Smart Environments to Increase Inclusion of People with Down's Syndrome: Results of the Requirement Analysis. In Computers Helping People with Special Needs: 14th International Conference, ICCHP 2014, Paris, France, July 9-11, 2014, Proceedings, Part II 14 (pp. 144-147). Springer International Publishing.

Stolojescu-Crisan, C., Crisan, C., & Butunoi, B. P. (2021). An IoT-based smart home automation system. Sensors, 21(11), 3784.

Vesin, B., Mangaroska, K., & Giannakos, M. (2018). Learning in smart environments: user-centered design and analytics of an adaptive learning system. Smart Learning Environments, 5, 1-21.

Vermesan, O., & Friess, P. (Eds.). (2013). Internet of things: converging technologies for smart environments and integrated ecosystems. River publishers.

Zaki, M., & Forbrig, P. (2011). User-oriented accessibility patterns for smart environments. In Human-Computer Interaction. Design and Development Approaches: 14th International Conference, HCI International 2011, Orlando, FL, USA, July 9-14, 2011, Proceedings, Part I 14 (pp. 319-327). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.