Received: December 2023 Accepted: January 2024 DOI: https://doi.org/10.58262/ks.v12i2.094

Comparison between the Effects of Two Analgesic Drugs on Ivf Regarding Oocytes Quality and Pregnancy Outcomes

Shams Anmar Burhan¹, Prof. Dr. Amoura M. Abou-El-Naga², Asst. Prof. Dr. Raed Ghazi Reshan³, Prof. Dr.Abdelaziz El Refaeey⁴

Abstract

Background: Numerous human and experimental investigations have shown that anesthetic substances exist in follicular fluid, potentially having effects on hormone concentration, embryo quality, and the success of following fertilization and implantation rates. Objective: Aim to evaluate the impact of medications used for general anesthesia (remifentanil vs. fentanyl) on the results of ICSI, the concentration of hormones in follicular fluid, and oocyte retrieval. Patients and methods: The current prospective comparative study was approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Science at Mansoura University in Egypt. It was carried out at the infertility center of the "High Institute of Infertility Diagnosis and Assisted Reproductive Technologies/Reproductive Physiology/Al-Nahrain University/Baghdad/Iraq." Sixty infertile women who were undergoing intracytoplasmic sperm injections (ICSI) for various infertility-related problems participated in the trial. The women ranged in age from twenty to forty-five. The study's original September 2022 deadline was pushed out to September 2023. Those persons were divided into two groups based on the anesthetic routine used for the retrieval of oocytes during general anesthesia. While remifentanil, midazolam, and propofol were given to the second group, fentanyl, midazolam, and propofol were given to the first group. Results: The current study's findings demonstrated that remifentanil increased the rate of fertilization (52.40) than fentanyl (47.87); comparing the hormonal levels in The follicular fluid showed significantly higher FSH levels in the remifertanil group (p = 0.046); on the other hand, there were no significant differences between the fentanyl and remifentanil groups regarding follicular fluid LH and prolactin levels, with p values equal to 0.632 and 0.320. According to the results, the fentanyl group showed insignificantly higher total oocyte counts (p = 0.973) and metaphase II oocytes (p = 0.495). On the contrary, there were insignificantly fewer abnormal oocytes (p = 0.919), germinal vesicles (p = 0.259), and ruptured oocytes (p = 0.406) in the fentanyl group. There were also no significant differences between the studied groups regarding total transferred embryos (p = 1.00) or grade I embryos (p = 0.739). Conclusion: Because of remifentanil's quicker recovery period and substantial association with specific IVF and ICSI characteristics, it is preferable to fentanyl when used under general anesthesia for ova collection operations.

Keywords: ICSI, general anesthesia, oocyte retrieval, fentanyl, remifentanil, Follicular Fluid.

- Email: Raadreshan@yahoo.com
- ⁴ Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Email: Refaeeysat@yahoo.com

¹ M.Sc in Applied Embryology /Al-Nahrian University (2018), Asst.Lecturer, The Higher Institute of Infertility and Assisted Reproductive Techniques, Al-Nahrain University, Email: alshams905@yahoo.com

² Professor of Experimental Embryology, Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Email: amoura55555@gmail.com

³ Asst. Prof. of Anaesthesia, The Higher Institute of Infertility and Assisted Reproductive Techniques, Al-Nahrain University

1. Introduction

When a woman's fertility either declines or is completely absent. A diagnosis of infertility is made. In other words, it doesn't mean the lady can't get pregnant; it just means she might want some sort of support or therapy to do so. The term "infertility" is frequently used when a couple hasn't conceived after 12 months of regular, unprotected sexual contact or after six months for women over 35 (1). A 10% to 15% prevalence of infertility is estimated for the entire world (2). Female factors, male factors, ovarian dysfunction, tubal factors, endometriosis, uterine causes, or other reasons are some of the etiological causes of infertility. While the etiology is complex in some couples, it is referred to as unexplained infertility in others (3). Assisted reproductive technologies (ARTs), medical and/or surgical therapy of the underlying cause, fertility drugs, and fertility counseling are all included in infertility management (4).

All procedures that include treating human oocytes, sperm, or embryos in vitro for reproductive purposes are collectively referred to as assisted reproductive technologies (ARTs). This includes assisted hatching, gamete intrafallopian transfer, zygote intrafallopian transfer, in vitro fertilization (IVF), embryo transfer (ET), intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), embryo biopsy, preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD), assisted conception, gamete and embryo cryopreservation, semen, oocyte and embryo donation, and gestational carrier (5).

IVF is defined as "a system of operations that includes extracorporeal fertilization of gametes. ICSI and traditional in vitro fertilization are included. (6). A series of IVF phases, including controlled ovarian stimulation (COS), ovum pick-up (OPU), fertilization, embryo transfer (ET), and implantation, are required for IVF to be successful. Because the quality of the oocyte influences fertilization, embryo quality, and implantation, the OPU procedure is crucial (7). IVF is one of the most painful and stressful procedures; thus, it needs sedation, analgesia, and anesthesia (8). A greater pregnancy rate, an effective surgical anesthetic with few side effects, and quick recovery are all requirements for the optimum anesthesia approach (9).

Because of their quick-acting time (8–10 minutes), quick onset, rapid elimination and clearance rate without drug accumulation, quick recovery time, and improved fertility outcome in association with OPU, fentanyl, and remifentanil have inspired several authors (9, 10, 11, 12). Itchy skin, nausea, vomiting, hypotension, bradycardia, and respiratory damage are some of the side effects (13). To the best of our knowledge, no information compares the effects of fentanyl and remifentanil on fertility in a single study during oocyte retrieval.

2-Patients and Memethods

The present prospective comparative study was approved by the ethical committee of the Faculty of Science at Mansoura University in Egypt and was conducted at the infertility center of the "High Institute of Infertility Diagnosis and Assisted Reproductive Technologies/Reproductive Physiology/Al-Nahrain University/Baghdad/Iraq." The trial included 60 infertile women receiving intracytoplasmic sperm injections (ICSI) for a range of infertility-related conditions. The women ranged in age from twenty to forty-five. The study's original September 2022 deadline was pushed out to September 2023. Based on the anesthetic regimen used during general anesthesia for oocyte retrieval, those patients were divided into two groups. Midazolam, propofol, and fentanyl were given to the first group, and remifentanil, midazolam, and propofol were given to the second.

All participants completed the standard ICSI procedure, which entails clinical evaluation (history, examination, and investigation), controlled ovarian stimulation, triggering of

ovulation, oocyte harvesting under general anesthesia, and other steps. Also, follicular fluid sample collection was done after anesthesia to assess the concentration of hormones (prolactin, follicular stimulating hormone (FSH), luteal hormone (LH), oocyte denudation, oocyte maturation evaluation, intracytoplasmic sperm injection of mature (MII) oocytes, evaluation of fertilization and cleavage and embryo grading, embryo selection and embryo transfer, and beta hCG determination (to document biochemical pregnancy). Oocyte denudation has been followed by sperm processing. The quality of oocytes and embryos was evaluated based on microscopical morphological standards. In a private laboratory, follicular fluid was obtained using an enzyme-linked.

3-Results

The Follicular fluid hormone concentrations of infertile women enrolled in this study are shown in (table 1), (figure 1, and Figure 2). The follicular fluid showed significantly higher FSH levels in the remifentanil group (59.53 \pm 15.66 vs. 52.83 \pm 8.89; p = 0.046); on the other hand, there were no significant differences between the fentanyl and remifentanil groups regarding follicular fluid LH and prolactin levels, with p values equal to 0.632 and 0.320 respectively. However, The comparison of oocytes and embryo characteristics between fentanyl and remifentanil groups were illustrated in (Table), (figure 3, and Figure 4). According to the results fentanyl group showed insignificantly higher total oocyte counts (10.50 \pm 7.51 vs. 10.43 \pm 7.93; p = 0.973), metaphase II oocytes (8.10 \pm 6.13 vs. 7.07 \pm 5.51; p = 0.495).

On the contrary, there were insignificantly lower abnormal oocytes (0.93 \pm 0.25 vs. 0.97 \pm 0.21; p = 0.919), germinal vesicles (0.93 \pm 0.22 vs. 1.60 \pm 0.54; p = 0.259) in the fentanyl group. There were also no significant differences between the studied groups regarding total transferred embryos (2.07 \pm 0.83 vs. 2.07 \pm 0.74; p = 1.00).

Parameters	Fentanyl group n=30 (Mean ± SD)	Remifantanil group n=30 (Mean ± SD)	p value
LH (mIU/ml)	76.33 ± 2.26	74.63 ± 2.71	0.632 Ŧ NS
FSH (mIU/ml)	52.83 ± 8.89	59.53 ± 15.66	0.046 Ŧ S
Prolactin (ng/ml)	1542 ± 93	1656 ± 66	0.320 Ŧ NS

Table 1: Comparison of Follicular Fluids Lh, Fsh, and Prolactin Levels Between Fentanyl and Remifentanil Groups.

LH: Luteinizing hormone; FSH: Follicle stimulating hormone; NS: Not significant (p > 0.05); S: Significant ($p \le 0.05$); T: Independent sample t test

Parameter	Fentanyl group (Mean ± SD)	Remifentanil group (Mean ± SD)	p-value
Total oocytes count	10.50 ± 7.51	10.43 ± 7.93	0.973 Ŧ NS
Metaphase II oocytes	8.10 ± 6.13	7.07 ± 5.51	0.495 Ŧ NS
Abnormal oocytes	0.93 ± 0.25	0.97 ± 0.21	0.919 Ŧ NS
GV oocytes	0.93 ± 0.22	1.60 ± 0.54	0.259 Ŧ NS
Rupture oocytes	0.53 ± 0.22	0.80 ± 0.23	0.406 T NS
Fertilization rate %	47.87 ± 20.28	52.40 ± 16.55	0.257 Ŧ NS
Transferred embryos	2.07 ± 0.83	2.07 ± 0.74	1.00 Ŧ NS
Grade I embryos	2.00 ± 0.80	2.07 ± 0.77	0.739 Ŧ NS
Grade II embryos	3.00 ± 0.0	2.00 ±	

Table 2: Comparison of ICSI Outcome Between Fentanyl and Remifentanil Groups.

GV: Germinal Vesicles; NS: not Significant (P > 0.05); T: Independent Sample T Test.



Figure 1: Comparison of Follicular Fluids Lh and Fsh Levels between Fentanyl and Remifentanil Groups.



Figure 2: Comparison of Follicular Fluids Prolactin Levels between Fentanyl and Remifentanil Groups.



Figure 3: Comparison of ICSI Outcomes between Fentanyl and Remifentanil.



Figure 4: Comparison of Fertilization Rates between Fentanyl and Remifentanil Groups

4-Discussion

In this study, 60 infertile women were randomly selected and divided into two groups: 30 received fentanyl, and 30 received remifentanil during general anesthesia for oocyte retrieval. The purpose of this was to compare the results of the intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) cycle, specifically oocyte characteristics and embryonic characteristics. In the current study, the FF concentration of FSH, LH, and PRL hormones in the fentanyl and remifentanil groups showed significantly higher FSH levels in the remifentanil group (p = 0.046). In the past, only a small number of researchers examined the FF concentration of anesthetic drugs without measuring any hormone concentration in FF, and some of them used a small sample size; therefore, more studies are necessary to get a safe conclusion (14).

Some studies (15) and (16) measured the concentration of a variety of anesthetic agents (thiopental, thiamylal, lidocaine, midazolam, fentanyl, alfentanil, and propofol) in FF; however, data about hormone concentration in FF are lacking. It is noteworthy to notice that the FF assessment for hormone concentration was first included in the current investigation. Furthermore, no prior research has compared the effects of the FF hormone concentrations of remifentanil and fentanyl on the features of the oocyte and embryo in women undergoing oocyte retrieval for IVF/ICSI programs. The results showed that there are no significant differences in ICSI outcome (total oocyte count, metaphase II oocytes, abnormal oocytes, GV oocytes, rupture oocytes, transferred embryos, Grade I embryos, and Grade II embryos) between the remifentanil group and the fentanil group (p > 0.05).

When comparing anesthetic techniques using propofol and fentanil versus midazolam and remifentanil associated with paracervical block with lidocaine, or comparing GA (atropine, remifentanil, and propofol) versus paracervical block or analgesia with remifentanil versus anesthesia with propofol and alfentanil, it has been found that remifentanil has no negative effect on the quality of retrieved oocytes (9). (12). (17). Remifentanil also has an advantage over local anesthetics in that, when administered as a continuous intravenous infusion, the total oocyte count is much higher (18). Or administered as part of GA (remifentanil combined with isoflurane or propofol) and contrasted with sedation (midazolam, diazepam, or propofol) (19). Comparing the effects of fentanyl, thiopental, and propofol for sedation during oocyte retrieval, some writers observed no discernible detrimental effect of fentanyl on oocyte quality (20). Comparing fentanyl to propofol, ketamine, propofol, or isoflurane, other investigations found no discernible detrimental effects on oocyte quality or quantity (21).

Thus far, the debate above suggests two things. Firstly, there hasn't been a study that compares fentanyl and remifentanil. The second is that there is ongoing debate on the impact of both medications on the quantity and quality of oocytes, necessitating more study. Remifentanil did not significantly alter the quality of the embryos when compared to alfentanil/propofol, local anesthetic, or pethidine/midazolam, according to certain research (18). (22). On the other hand, additional research revealed that remifentanil outperforms fentanyl in terms of embryo scoring (11). The effects of fentanyl and remifentanil on the quality of embryos in women undergoing oocyte retrieval for IVF/ICSI programs have not been compared in any prior research. However, as far as is known, this study is the first to compare the effects of remifentanil and fentanyl on embryo quality, and it has demonstrated that remifentanil is better than fentanyl since low-quality embryos were only included in the group that received fentanyl treatment. Remifentanil's brief duration of action and quick excretion from the systemic circulation without drug buildup may help to explain this (9).

This could result in reduced anesthetic exposure with less predictable effects on the oocyte and later the embryo. Remifentanil's quick metabolism by tissue and plasma non-specific esterase, primarily to carboxylic acid (a non-active byproduct), accounts for its quick clearance and elimination (23). Remifentanil was compared to propofol-alfentanil for transvaginal oocyte retrieval in a randomized clinical trial conducted by Matsota et al. in 2012, but not fentanyl. Fertilization and cleavage rates did not significantly differ between the two drugs (17). Additionally, remifentanil has been reported to have no discernible negative effects on the rate of fertilization when compared to fentanyl, propofol, alfentanil, nitrous oxide, and local anesthetics. However, several publications have shown that women who get fentanyl have a much lower rate of fertilization than women who receive thiopental and propofol (7), (24), and (25). This study is the first to compare the effects of remifentanil and fentanyl on oocyte retrieval, fertilization, and cleavage rates. The results strongly suggest that remifentanil should be used instead of fentanyl, as the rate of fertilization was significantly higher in women who received remifentanil should be used remifentanil than in those who received fentanyl.

Reference

- 1-Jain G, Khatuja R, Juneja A, Mehta S. Laparoscopy: as a first line diagnostic tool for infertility evaluation. J Clin Diagnostic Res JCDR. 2014; 8(10): OC01.
- 2-Medicine PC of American S for R. Definitions of infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss. Fertil Steril. 2008; 90(5 Suppl): S60.
- 3-Lavery, S. 'Subfertility', in Kenny, L. and Bickerstaff, H.(ed.). Gynecology by Ten Teachers. 20th ed. Boca Raton London New York: Taylor & Francis Group. 2017; pp.151-169.
- 4-Nardelli, A.A., Stafinski, T., Motan, T., Klein, K. and Menon, D. Assisted reproductive technologies (ARTs): evaluation of evidence to support public policy development. Reproductive health. 2014; 11(1), p.76.
- 5-Zegers-Hochschild, F., Adamson, G.D., Dyer, S., Racowsky, C., de Mouzon, J., Sokol, R., Rienzi, L., Sunde, A., Schmidt, L., Cooke, I.D., and Simpson, J.L. The international glossary on infertility and fertility care, Human reproduction. 2017; 32 (9), pp.1786-1801.
- 6-Zhang, S., Lin, H., Kong, S., Wang, S., Wang, H., Wang, H. and Armant, D.R. Physiological and molecular determinants of embryo implantation. Molecular aspects of medicine. 2013; 34(5), pp.939-980.
- 7-Tola, E.N. The effect of anesthetic agents for oocyte pick-up on in vitro fertilization outcome: A retrospective study in a tertiary center. Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2019; 58 (5), pp. 673-679.

- 8- Goutziomitrou, E., Venetis, C.A., Kolibianakis, E.M., Bosdou, J.K., Parlapani, A., Grimbizis, G. and Tarlatzis, B.C. Propofol versus thiopental sodium as anesthetic agents for oocyte retrieval: a randomized controlled trial. Reproductive biomedicine online. 2015; 31(6), pp.752-759.
- 9- Bümen, S., Günüşen, İ., Firat, V., Karaman, S., Akdoğan, A., and Göker, E.N.T.A comparison of intravenous general anesthesia and paracervical block for in vitro fertilization: effects on oocytes using the transvaginal technique. Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences. 2011; 41(5), pp. 801-808.
- 10- Lier, M.C., Douwenga, W.M., Yilmaz, F., Schatz, R., Hompes, P.G., Boer, C. and Mijatovic, V. Patient-Controlled Remifentanil Analgesia as Alternative for Pethidine with Midazolam during Oocyte Retrieval in IVF/ICSI Procedures: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Pain Practice.2015; 15 (5), pp. 487-495.
- 11- Jarahzadeh, M.H., Davar, R., Hajiesmaeili, M.R., Entezari, A. and Musavi, F. Remifentanil versus fentanyl for assisted reproductive technologies: effect on hemodynamic recovery from anesthesia and Outcome of ART Cycles. International journal of fertility & sterility. 2011; 5(2), pp.86-89.
- 12- Oliveira Júnior, G.L.D., Serralheiro, F.C., Fonseca, F.L.A., Ribeiro Junior, O.D., Adami, F., Christofolini, D.M., Bianco, B. and Barbosa, C.P. Randomized double-blind clinical trial comparing two anesthetic techniques for ultrasound-guided transvaginal follicular puncture. Einstein (São Paulo). 2016; 14 (3), pp. 305-310.
- 13- Pergolizzi, J.V., LeQuang, J.A., Berger, G.K. and Raffa, R.B. The basic pharmacology of opioids informs the opioid discourse about misuse and abuse: a review. Pain and therapy. 2017; 6 (1), pp.1-16.
- 14- Matsota P, Kaminioti E, Kostopanagiotou G. Anesthesia-related toxic effects on in vitro fertilization outcome: burden of proof. BioMed research is international. 2015; 2015.
- 15- Endler GC, Stout M, Magyar DM, Hayes MF, Moghissi KS, Sacco AG. Follicular fluid concentrations of thiopental and thiamylal during laparoscopy for oocyte retrieval. Fertility and sterility. 1987 Nov 1; 48(5):828-33.
- 16- Ben-Shlomo I, Moskovich R, Golan J, Eyali V, Tabak A, Shalev E. The effect of propofol anesthesia on oocyte fertilization and early embryo quality. Human reproduction. 2000 Oct 1; 15(10):2197-9.
- 17- Matsota P, Sidiropoulou T, Batista C, et al. Analgesia with remifentanil versus anesthesia with propofol-alfentanil for transvaginal oocyte retrieval: a randomized trial on their impact on in vitro fertilization outcome. Middle East Journal of Anaesthesiology. 2012 Jun; 21(5):685-692.
- 18- Milanini MN, D'Onofrio P, Melani Novelli AM, Dabizzi S, Cozzi C, Evangelisti P, et al. Local anesthesia versus intravenous infusion of remifentanil for assisted reproductive technologies: A retrospective study. *Minerva Ginecologica*. 2008 Jun; 60:203-7.
- 19- Hammadeh ME, Wilhelm W, Huppert A, Rosenbaum P, Schmidt W. Effects of general anesthesia vs. sedation on fertilization, cleavage and pregnancy rates in an IVF program. Archives of gynecology and obstetrics. 1999 Dec 1; 263(1-2):56-9.
- 20- Nossair WS and Maaty AMA. Comparative Study among Fentanyl, Thiopental, and Probofol for Sedation during In Vitro Fertilization Procedures. SM J Gynecol Obstet. 2017; 3(1): 1020.
- 21- Urfalioglu A and Yaylali A. Effect of Anesthetics during Oocyte Pick-Up Procedure on Oocyte Quality and Pregnancy. Journal of Clinical and Analytical Medicine. 2016; 7 (6): 840-843.
- 22- Barut C, Akdogan A, Sahin G, Karaman S, Goker ET, Tavmergen E. Does general anesthesia affect IVF outcomes? Fertility and Sterility. 2008 Sep 1; 90: S404-5.

- 23- Beleña JM, Núñez M, Vidal A, Anta D. Randomized double-blind comparison of remifentanil and alfentanil in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy using total intravenous anesthesia. Journal of anaesthesiology, clinical pharmacology. 2016 Oct; 32(4):487.
- 24-Mohsin HA, Jwad MA, Reshan RG. Effect of drugs used in general anesthesia on oocyte and embryo quality in Iraqi infertile females undergoing intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Iraqi Journal of Embryos and Infertility Researches. 2020 Dec 16; 10(1):83-100.
- 25-Farzi F, Mehrafza M, Mirmansouri A, Sorouri ZZ, Roushan ZA, Raoufi A, Shalkohi R, Samanta S, Hosseini A. Hemodynamic parameters and reproductive outcome after intracytoplasmic sperm injection and fresh embryo transfer in patients undergoing oocyte retrieval with general anesthesia using fentanyl, remifentanil or alfentanil–a randomized clinical trial. Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2019 Jul 1; 58(4):536-40.