Received: October 2023 Accepted: December 2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.58262/ks.v12i1.308

# Comparative Analysis of Google AI and Chatbot GPT: A Study on Technological Approaches and Applications

Audi Albtoush<sup>1</sup>, Ibrahim Almahasneh<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) has brought forth transformative technologies, and within this realm, conversational AI models like ChatGPT and Google Bard AI have emerged as prominent players. This paper conducts an extensive survey of existing research and user reviews to provide a comprehensive analysis of these state-of-the-art systems. Through an in-depth examination, we offer a nuanced understanding of ChatGPT and Google Bard, shedding light on their respective strengths, limitations, and unique capabilities. Furthermore, we present a concise comparison that highlights the key distinctions and poten- tial applications of each model. This paper serves as a valuable resource for researchers and individuals interested in the dynamic landscape of conversational AI, encouraging further exploration and advancement in this exciting field.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Chatgpt, Google Bard AI, Natural Language Processing (NLP).

#### Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of artificial intelligence, chatbot platforms have emerged as powerful tools that revo- lutionize how we interact with technology. Among the front- runners in this domain are Google Bard and ChatGPT (1), two platforms that have captured significant attention and sparked excitement within the AI community. These technological mar- vels have not only altered the AI landscape but have also raised profound questions about their capabilities and future implica- tions (2), (3).

AI chatbots have come a long way from their early days of simple rule-based systems. With advancements in natural lan- guage processing (NLP) and machine learning (4), they have evolved into sophisticated conversational agents capable of un- derstanding and responding to human inputs with remarkable accuracy and contextual understanding. Google Bard and Chat- GPT stand at the forefront of this evolution, pushing the bound- arise of what chatbots can achieve (5).

Google Bard, a groundbreaking chatbot platform developed by Google, has garnered attention for its state-ofthe-art NLP capabilities and its ability to engage in human-like conversa- tions (6). Through advanced language models and deep learn- ing techniques, Google Bard aims to provide users with a seam- less and immersive conversational experience (7). Its core func- tionalities encompass robust natural language understanding, sophisticated dialogue management, and dynamic natural lan- guage generation. With Google's extensive resources and ex- pertise, Bard has the potential to redefine the way we interact with AI chatbots.

On the other hand, ChatGPT, developed by OpenAI, has made significant strides in the field of AI-driven conversational agents. Built upon the powerful GPT-3 language model, Chat-

GPT exhibits a remarkable ability to generate coherent and contextually relevant responses (8). It leverages vast

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jerash University, karak, Assistant professor, Jordan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ministry of education, karak, Teacher, Jordan.

amounts of pre-existing knowledge and can adapt to a wide range of conversation topics. ChatGPT's evolution has been fueled by its ability to learn from massive datasets, enabling it to pro- vide increasingly accurate and human-like interactions (9). Its core functionalities include natural language understanding, di- alogue management, and natural language generation, making it a formidable contender in the AI chatbot landscape (10).

While Google Bard and ChatGPT share the goal of creating advanced AI chatbots, there are key differences and similari- ties that define their roles in the broader AI landscape (11). Both platforms emphasize the importance of NLP and ma- chine learning techniques to understand and generate human- like responses. They strive to provide an engaging and user- friendly conversational experience. However, the specific algorithms, architectures, and underlying technologies utilized by these platforms may differ (10).

Understanding the unique features, capabilities, and potential implications of Google Bard and ChatGPT is crucial for indi- viduals and organizations seeking to leverage the power of AI in their personal or professional lives (12). By exploring the ad- vancements and possibilities offered by these platforms, we can gain valuable insights into the future of AI chatbots and unlock their transformative potential in various domains (13).

In the following sections of this article, we will delve deeper into the evolution and core functionalities of Google Bard and ChatGPT. We will also examine the key differences and similar- ities that distinguish their roles in the AI landscape. Through a comprehensive exploration of these front-runners, we will gain a clearer understanding of the capabilities and implications of AI chatbot platforms, empowering us to harness their power ef- fectively.

# **Origins and Technology**

The emergence of Google Bard and ChatGPT as prominent AI chatbot platforms reflects the diverse approaches and objec- tives within the AI community. These platforms demonstrate distinct technological foundations that showcase the range of possibilities in developing intelligent conversational agents, The inception and technological foundations of Google Bard and ChatGPT highlight the diverse approaches and goals within the AI community (14).

## Google Bard's Development

Google's Newest Language Model: Google Bard is powered by Google's latest language model, PaLM 2, which stands for "Pathways Language Model." Innovative Approach: Bard fo- cuses on harnessing the vast information available on the inter- net, continually drawing data to provide up-to-date responses (15).

Google Bard is an early experiment with generative AI that aims to provide users with a collaborative experience with AI. The development of Bard involves ongoing research and devel- opment based on Google's cutting-edge research in large lan- guage models (LLMs) (10). Bard is built on state-of-the-art re- inforcement learning techniques to train the model to be more intuitive and imaginative, and to respond with even greater quality and accuracy. The model is designed to predict the next sentence in a conversation based on the previous sentence or sentences, leading to more natural conversational experiences (16).

Google is committed to engaging with the web and AI com- munities to explore additional machine-readable approaches to choice and control for web publishers, and they are actively adding to Bard's capabilities through ongoing research, testing, and user feedback (17). The development of Bard is guided by Google's AI Principles, which emphasize delivering substantial social benefit and avoiding harms. Google engages in ongoing adversarial testing with internal' red team" members to probe Bard for errors, fairness issues, and potential harm, and they have included clear opportunities for user feedback in Bard (18)

Bard has built-in feedback mechanisms, allowing users to mark good and bad responses and report legal issues when ap- propriate. Additionally, Bard is available in more than 40 lan- guages, and Google is continuing

#### 4304 Comparative Analysis of Google AI and Chathot GPT: A Study on Technological Approaches and Applications

to teach Bard how to respond in even more languages. Bard also has text-to-speech capabili- ties so it can read its responses out loud (19).

To enable more topical and helpful interactions with Bard, its ability to hold context during longer conversations is pur-posefully limited for now, but Google aims to improve this as Bard continues to learn. Bard also has Extensions that allow it to connect to Google apps and services, providing real-time information from Google Maps, Flights, Hotels, and YouTube. Users can connect Bard to their Google Workspace, allowing Bard to find, summarize, or answer questions about their con- tent from Docs, Drive, and Gmail (20).

As Google continues to develop Bard, they are committed to innovating responsibly and collaborating with content creators.

to find ways for this new technology to help enhance their work and benefit the entire web ecosystem. They have launched an Experiment Updates page so people can see the latest features, improvements, and bug fixes for Bard, and they will regularly update their explainer to share progress with the community (21).

In summary, Google's development of Bard involves a com- mitment to rapid iteration, user feedback, responsible AI prin- ciples, and ongoing improvements to the model's capabilities and features.

#### **ChatGPT's Evolution**

Use of GPT-3.5 and GPT-4: ChatGPT has evolved signif- icantly, employing OpenAI's advanced GPT-3.5 and GPT-4 technologies. Versatility and Range: These technologies enable ChatGPT to handle various tasks, from content generation to complex problem-solving. Both Google Bard and ChatGPT represent significant milestones in AI development. Their dis- tinct origins and technological backbones offer a glimpse into the diverse potentials of AI chatbots in shaping our digital inter- actions (22). ChatGPT, seen as a tool for academics, contributes to the expanding array of resources available for research and paper writing. It can function as a search engine, directly an- swering questions instead of merely providing sources for man- ual exploration. Furthermore, individuals who struggle with a blank page can employ ChatGPT to generate an initial draft of their writing. By providing a suitable prompt, even individuals experiencing writer's block can find it feasible to utilize Chat-GPT. Additionally, envisioning ChatGPT as an interlocutor in brainstorming sessions is a possibility (23).

Nevertheless, ChatGPT does have notable limitations as well. It occasionally produces incorrect answers, exhibits ex- cessive sensitivity to slight differences in prompt phrasing, can be longwinded, and struggles to disambiguate ambiguous prompts. These deficiencies restrict its effectiveness as a re- search tool (24).

Overall, the release of ChatGPT represents a gradual ad- vancement in the ongoing development of scientific tools, which we have been familiar with since the emergence of sci- ence and, progressively, since the scientific revolution. In a broader sense, the deployment of large language models (LLMs) in scientific research and publishing will likely bring both advantages and disadvantages (25). The specific na- ture and balance of these benefits and limitations will become clearer as we gain further experience with LLMs in the years to come (26).

## Features and Capabilities

Both Google Bard and ChatGPT are large language models (LLMs) capable of impressive feats, but they also have their own strengths and weaknesses. Here's a breakdown of their key features and capabilities:

#### **Google Bard**

## Strengths

Knowledge-driven: Bard excels at accessing and processing factual information from the real world. This makes it ideal for tasks like answering open-ended questions, summarizing fac- tual topics, and generating

different creative text formats based on real-world knowledge.

#### Safety and Factuality

Bard prioritizes factual accuracy and avoids generating harmful or misleading content. This makes it a reliable source for information and reduces the risk of bias (27). Multimodal: Bard can process and generate text, translate languages, write different kinds of creative content, and answer your questions in an informative way (28).

#### Creativity

While Bard is improving in generating creative text for- mats, it can still struggle with tasks like writing fictional sto- ries or poetry that require strong imagination and emotional understanding (29). Accessibility: Bard is currently in limited access and requires an invitation to use.

## ChatGPT

#### Strengths

Creative potential: ChatGPT excels at generating creative text formats like poems, code, scripts, and musical pieces. Its fluency and flexibility make it a powerful tool for artists and writers(30). Open-access: ChatGPT is widely available, making it accessible to a broader user base. Humor and Personality: ChatGPT can be more playful and humorous in its responses, adding a more conversational and engaging feel to interactions.

#### Weaknesses

Factuality: ChatGPT tends to prioritize fluency and coher- ence over factual accuracy. This can lead to misinformation or biased outputs (31).

## Safety

ChatGPT has been known to generate harmful or offensive content, requiring careful handling and supervision. Limited factual grounding: ChatGPT might struggle with tasks that re- quire accessing and processing external information (32).

The best LLM for you depends on your specific needs and priorities. If you need factual information or want to explore different creative formats based on real-world knowledge, Bard might be the better choice. If you prioritize creative writing, accessibility, or a more playful and engaging interaction, Chat- GPT might be a better fit (33).

#### **Google Bard's Features**

Google Bard, a text-based artificial intelligence chatbot, of- fers a range of features designed to provide natural language search queries, contextual responses, and visual outputs (34). Some of its key features include:

- 1. Natural Language Processing: Bard leverages natural lan- guage processing and machine learning to understand and re- spond to user queries in a conversational manner (35).
- 2. Contextual Responses: It provides contextual responses, offering more than just a list of answers by understanding the context of the user's queries (22).
- 3. Visual Outputs: With the inclusion of the PaLM language model, Bard can provide visual responses to user queries, en- hancing the user experience with visual information (2).
- 4. Complex Question Answering: Bard is capable of answer- ing complex questions and providing explanations on a wide range of topics, making it suitable for educational and informa- tional purposes (36).
- 5. Creative Tasks: It can assist with creative tasks, such as generating content and providing explanations on various subjects(37).
- 6. Search Enhancement: Bard aims to make natural language search queries more prevalent, moving away from

#### 4306 Comparative Analysis of Google AI and Chathot GPT: A Study on Technological Approaches and Applications

traditional keyword-based searches (38).

7. Virtual Assistant Capabilities: It can function as a virtual assistant, providing real-time answers and support for a variety of user inquiries (39).

These features collectively position Google Bard as a versa- tile tool for natural language processing, contextual understand- ing, and visual information provision, making it suitable for a wide range of applications across different sectors, and some Features and Capabilities for use google Bard Fig 1.

#### ChatGPT's Capabilities

ChatGPT is a powerful chatbot that has been trained to of- fer responses to user submissions in a manner that resembles human-like conversation. It has acquired a surprisingly di- verse range of skills and capabilities through the use of machine learning. Some of its capabilities include creating new materi- als, translating languages, generating inquiries and responses, performing sentiment investigation, producing synopses, and even generating films (40).

With its remarkable ability to quickly search through vast amounts of online data and powerful language and writing skills, ChatGPT can generate unique articles on any topic. It can also generate a wide range of outputs, including rudimen- tary financial assessment, entertaining rhymes and melodies, impeccable imitations, thoughtful essays on a vast array of top- ics, natural language synopses of technical articles or scientific concepts, customer service interactions via chat, precise predic- tions, personalized guidance, and responses (41).

These capabilities make ChatGPT a potential game-changer in several domains of our lives, such as healthcare, transport, and instruction. It has gained global recognition and has the potential to revolutionize human interactions with technology. However, it should be noted that ChatGPT's responses are re-stricted to relevant and plausible topics, and its accuracy may be limited due to its training data constraints (42).



Figure 1: Features and Capabilities for Use google Bard.

## **Comparative Abilities**

the choice between Google Bard and ChatGPT should be based on the specific requirements of the intended application, considering factors such as the need for natural language search capabilities, contextual understanding, visual outputs, content generation, and conversational interactions. Both tools offer unique strengths, and the selection should align with the spe- cific use case and objectives(14). Google Bard: 1. Natural Language Search Queries: Bard focuses on enhancing natural language search queries, aiming to provide more intuitive and context-aware search capabilities. 2. Contextual Responses: It excels in providing

contextual responses, understanding the context of user queries and offering comprehensive answers. 3. Visual Outputs: With the PaLM language model, Bard can pro- vide visual responses, enhancing the user experience with vi- sual information. 4. Complex Question Answering: Bard is designed to handle complex questions and provide detailed ex- planations on a wide range of topics. 5. Virtual Assistant Capa- bilities: It can function as a virtual assistant, offering real-time answers and support for various user inquiries.

ChatGPT: 1. Diverse Content Generation: ChatGPT demon- strates potential in generating diverse and original content, making it suitable for creative writing, content generation, and conversational interactions (43). 2. Language Understanding: It excels in understanding and generating human-like text, al- lowing for natural and engaging conversations. 3. OpenAI Ecosystem: ChatGPT is part of the OpenAI ecosystem, provid- ing access to a range of language models and APIs for natural language processing tasks. Language Support: ChatGPT sup- ports over 20 languages, demonstrating its versatility in global communication, whereas Google Bard currently offers support in U.S. English, Japanese, and Korean. Coding: Both platforms can generate code, but ChatGPT's support for multiple programming languages like Python, JavaScript, and Java gives it an edge in diverse coding tasks. User Interface: ChatGPT is known for its user-friendly interface, making it accessible to a wider audience without technical expertise. Google Bard's integration with Google products enhances its familiarity with Google users.

# Usage and Application

Google Bard, also known as mean, has undergone various re- finements, demonstrating significant improvements in human-like conversational abilities and excelling in applications that require human-like conversational abilities (14). However, it is important to note that the accessibility of Google Bard is currently limited to a smaller user base during its experimental phase.

Both ChatGPT and Google Bard have the potential to be ap- plied in a wide range of scenarios, from customer support to vir- tual assistants, and their usage and application may continue to evolve as these models are further developed and refined (44).

#### **Google Bard in Various Sectors**

Research: Particularly useful for academic and professional research due to its access to up-to-date information and vast data resources. Information Summarizing: This can summa-rize extensive web pages, making it a valuable tool for quick information digestion (45). Google Bard, with its capabilities in natural language processing and machine learning, can be applied across various sectors to enhance productivity and pro-vide valuable assistance(46)(47). Some potential sectors where Google Bard can be utilized include:

- 1. Education: Google Bard can assist students and educators by providing explanations on complex topics, answering questions, and offering educational support (48).
- 2. Customer Service: Bard can be employed in customer service to provide real-time responses to customer inquiries and support requests, enhancing the efficiency of customer support operations (49).
- 3. Healthcare: In the healthcare sector, Bard can aid in pro- viding information to patients, answering medical queries, and offering support for healthcare professionals in accessing rele- vant medical literature (50).
- 4. Research and Development: Bard's ability to extract infor- mation from a variety of sources can be valuable in research and development, aiding researchers in accessing relevant literature and information (51).
- 5. Content Creation: Bard can be utilized in content creation, assisting writers and creators in generating ideas, providing ex- planations, and enhancing the creative process (46).
- 6. Knowledge Management: Bard can contribute to knowl- edge management by providing access to information and an- swering queries within organizations (52).
- 7. Personal Assistance: Individuals can use Bard for personal assistance, such as finding recipes, obtaining Kurdish Studies

#### 4308 Comparative Analysis of Google AI and Chathot GPT: A Study on Technological Approaches and Applications

information on various topics, and answering general inquiries (42).

These applications demonstrate the potential for Google Bard to be a versatile tool across different sectors, providing valuable support and enhancing productivity.

#### ChatGPT's Role

Content Generation: Ideal for businesses and creators for generating high-quality written content like articles, reports, and marketing copy. Problem Solving and Summarizing: Can summarize complex articles and documents, which is beneficial for quick understanding in educational and corporate settings. Both Google Bard and ChatGPT find unique niches in various sectors, catering to specific needs based on their distinct fea- tures and capabilities (53).

ChatGPT plays a significant role in various domains of our lives, including healthcare, transport, and instruction. It has the potential to revolutionize these industries by offering unique capabilities such as generating new materials, translating lan-guages, performing sentiment investigation, producing syn-opses, and even generating films. With its powerful language and writing skills, ChatGPT can quickly search through vast amounts of online data and generate unique articles on any topic(54). It is a chatbot that resembles human-like conver- sation and has acquired a diverse range of skills and capabil- ities through machine learning. It can generate a wide range of outputs, including financial assessment, entertaining rhymes and melodies, imitations, essays, customer service interactions, predictions, and personalized guidance. ChatGPT's functions in the contemporary era include education, creating new things, offering dynamic and fascinating ways, and translating ideas. It has the potential to transform the way students interact with the external world, assess the overall feelings of a brand or ser-vice, enhance writing skills, and translate English concepts into programming languages (55). However, it should be noted that ChatGPT has limitations and its responses are restricted to rele- vant and plausible topics. The use of ChatGPT requires careful evaluation of its potential impacts and implementation of ethi- cal and responsible safeguards. While it has received positive feedback, concerns have been raised regarding its use in edu- cational assessments and exams. Overall, ChatGPT's role is to provide advanced AI support and enhance various aspects of our lives.

## **Data Sourcing Differences**

Google Bard: Draws from real-time, internet-based data, constantly updating its responses with the latest information. This feature makes Bard particularly adept at answering queries about recent events or ongoing developments (56). ChatGPT: Relies on a fixed dataset, with the latest update being in 2021. While this limits its ability to provide current event information, it ensures a comprehensive understanding of a wide range of topics up to that point (57).

## **Data Sources and Accuracy**

Bard's Accuracy: Due to its real-time data access, Bard can offer more current responses, but the reliability can vary de- pending on the internet information source (57). ChatGPT's Reliability: Known for generating coherent and contextually appropriate responses based on a vast dataset, though it may not include the most recent updates or events (58). The data sources used to train ChatGPT include a wide range of publicly available text from the internet, books, articles, and other writ- ten material. OpenAI has not publicly disclosed the specific sources or datasets used for training ChatGPT (16).

In terms of accuracy, ChatGPT has demonstrated strong performance in generating human-like text and understanding nat- ural language. However, it's important to note that the accuracy of ChatGPT's responses can vary depending on the complex- ity of the task, the quality of the training data, and the specific context of the conversation.

As with any machine learning model, the accuracy of Chat- GPT's responses should be critically evaluated,

especially in applications where high precision and reliability are essential.

(24). Additionally, ongoing advancements in natural language processing and model refinement may contribute to improve- ments in accuracy over time.

#### **User Experience**

The user experience with Google Bard and ChatGPT differs notably, impacting how users interact with each platform. User experience is an important consideration in the development and deployment of AI models like ChatGPT and Google Bard.

(59). The ChatGPT 4 provides users with the option to opt out of contributing their data for training purposes, adding an additional layer of control for users over their data and address- ing some of the privacy concerns associated with the use of AI chatbots (60). This feature enhances the user experience by providing users with greater control over their data and privacy. Additionally, the GenAI models to enhance user experience by providing more personalized and efficient responses to user queries (61). However, it is important to balance the benefits of personalization with privacy concerns and ensure that user data is handled responsibly and transparently.

Overall, user experience is a crucial consideration in the de-velopment and deployment of AI models, and it is essential to balance the benefits of personalization and efficiency with pri-vacy concerns and user control over their data to ensure a posi- tive and responsible user experience.

#### **Comparison of User Experiences**

Google Bard: Tends to provide information in chunks, suit- able for users seeking brief, pointed answers or insights (28). ChatGPT: Creates content in a single, cohesive text prompt, offering a more narrative and detailed response (62). the doc- ument highlights that ChatGPT and Google Bard have dif- ferent approaches to user experience. ChatGPT, owing to its wide availability, enjoys popularity among developers and re- searchers, boasting over 100 million users and approximately.

1.8 billion visitors per month (63). ChatGPT's extensive re-liance on internet-sourced information, much of which might not belong to OpenAI, is a point of contention. However, Chat- GPT 4 provides users with the option to opt out of contributing their data for training purposes, adding an additional layer of control for users over their data and addressing some of the pri- vacy concerns associated with the use of AI chatbots.

On the other hand, Google Bard, though publicly available as an experimental product, remains restricted to a limited user base during its experimental phase (64). Unlike ChatGPT, Bard potentially uses users' activity data for its training, raising con- cerns about privacy. However, Bard's usage of user activity data and its potential impact on privacy should be carefully monitored and addressed to ensure user trust and data protection.

Overall, both ChatGPT and Google Bard have their strengths and weaknesses in terms of user experience, and it is essential to balance the benefits of personalization and efficiency with privacy concerns and user control over their data to ensure a positive and responsible user experience (65).

#### Account Requirements

Accessing Bard: Requires a Google account, integrating seamlessly with other Google services and enhancing the ex- perience for existing Google users (66). Using ChatGPT: Ac- cessible with any email account, making it more universally approachable without needing specific account affiliations. The distinct user experiences of Google Bard and ChatGPT cater to different preferences and needs, with each platform offering unique ways of interacting with AI-driven information.

## Privacy and Data Storage

Privacy and data storage are critical considerations when us- ing AI chatbots like Google Bard and ChatGPT.

## **Google Bard**

Data Storage: Stores user conversations in their Google ac- count for a customizable period, with three to 36month op- tions. Privacy Concerns: As with many Google services, there is potential exposure of personal information. Bard conversa- tions can also appear in Google searches, raising privacy im- plications. Privacy and data storage are crucial considerations in the context of AI models like Google Bard. The document high-lights that Google Bard has not been reported to have privacy issues similar to those associated with ChatGPT (67). However, concerns have been raised about Bard potentially using users' activity data for training, which raises privacy concerns. Unlike ChatGPT, Bard does not have an information cutoff and leverages the vast expanse of the internet to provide answers, potentially raising privacy concerns. It's important to note that Bard's usage of user activity data and its potential impact on privacy should be carefully monitored and addressed to ensure user trust and data protection. The increasing complexity and capability of GenAI models, such as ChatGPT and Google

Bard, have significant social and ethical implications in rela- tion to privacy (63). As highlighted in the document, ChatGPT has faced criticism over privacy concerns, particularly around the storage of information in the chatbot's library and poten- tial leaks of user information. On the other hand, Google Bard has not been reported to have these specific issues, but concerns have been raised about its potential use of users' activity data for training, which also raises privacy concerns.

These implications raise important ethical considerations re- garding the responsible use of AI models and the protection of user privacy. Its become more sophisticated and widely used, it is essential to address privacy concerns and ensure that user data is handled with transparency, security, and respect for pri- vacy rights. Additionally, the potential for misuse of AI mod- els to generate attacks or misinformation underscores the need for ethical guidelines and responsible deployment of these tech- nologies to safeguard privacy and mitigate potential risks.

Overall, the increasing complexity and capability of GenAI models necessitate a thoughtful and proactive approach to ad- dressing privacy concerns, ethical considerations, and the re- sponsible use of AI in order to uphold privacy rights and main- tain trust in these technologies.

## ChatGPT

Conversational Data Handling: Stores all prompts and in- teractions, which users can review. This data may be used to enhance the chatbot's training. Privacy Implications: While specific conversations can be deleted, sensitive information en- tered during chats might still influence the chatbot's learning, posing privacy risks. in this work the highlights that ChatGPT has faced criticism over privacy concerns, particularly regarding the storage of information in the chatbot's library and poten- tial leaks of user information (68). However, it's worth noting that ChatGPT 4 provides users with the option to opt out of con- tributing their data for training purposes, adding an additional layer of control for users over their data and addressing some of the privacy concerns associated with the use of AI chatbots.

Furthermore, there have been reports of a data breach related to ChatGPT, raising security concerns and emphasizing the im- portance of robust data storage and privacy measures. These instances underscore the significance of implementing strong privacy and data storage practices to protect user information and maintain trust in AI models like ChatGPT.

In summary, privacy and data storage are critical considera- tions for AI models like ChatGPT, and it is essential to address privacy concerns, implement robust data storage practices, and provide users with control over their data to ensure the responsible and ethical use of these technologies.

# Pricing and Accessibility

The pricing models and accessibility of ChatGPT and Google Bard reflect their different approaches to serving users.

#### **ChatGPT Pricing**

Free Version: Offers basic functionalities with a limit of 100 questions per day. ChatGPT Plus: A premium tier priced at

\$20 monthly, providing faster response times, access to new features, and GPT-4 capabilities (69).

#### **Google Bard Accessibility**

Free Access: Bard is available at no cost, in line with Google's typical model of providing free services while profit- ing from advertising (70). Universal Accessibility: As a Google product, Bard benefits from the widespread reach and accessi- bility of Google's ecosystem. While ChatGPT offers both a free and a premium version, Google Bard maintains its accessibility through a free-to-use model, each catering to different user needs and preferences in the AI chatbot domain. (71)

## Strengths and Limitations

Evaluating the strengths and limitations of Google Bard and ChatGPT provides insights into their effectiveness in various applications.Google Bard and ChatGPT are both generative AI models that excel in natural language processing and have their own strengths and limitations. Here's in next subsection:

#### **Google Bard's Strengths**

Real-Time Information: Exceptional at providing up-to-date information due to its access to the latest internet data (72). Lan- guage Support: Offers support in U.S. English, Japanese, and Korean, with capabilities in translating other languages. Inte- gration: Seamlessly interoperable with other Google products, enhancing user experience. Google Bard, a text-based artificial intelligence chatbot, possesses several strengths that make it a valuable tool for various tasks (73):

- 1. Natural Language Processing and Machine Learning: Google Bard utilizes natural language processing and machine learning to generate real-time answers.
- 2. Creative Assistance: It can assist with creative tasks, ex- plain complex topics, and extract information from a variety of sources on the internet.
- 3. Complex Question Answering: Google Bard is capable of answering complex questions, such as finding recipes that fit the ingredients in one's fridge, which cannot be answered using only a traditional search engine.
- 4. Contextual Responses: It provides contextual answers and aims to make natural language search queries more prevalent, focusing on providing the context of the answers rather than just a list of answers.
- 5. Visual Responses: With the inclusion of the PaLM lan- guage model, Bard can provide more visual responses to user queries, enhancing the user experience.

These strengths position Google Bard as a versatile and pow- erful tool for various information retrieval and assistance tasks.

#### Google Bard's Limitations

Data Privacy Concerns: Potential privacy issues due to the storage of conversations and their appearance in Google searches. AI Hallucinations: Sometimes prone to generating inaccurate information or "hallucinations." (74), Google Bard's ability to generate code for cyberattacks was unpredictable, and it could generate some attacks without jail breaking, which is a concern for cybersecurity. However, it is important to

Kurdish Studies

note that by June 27, 2023, Bard stopped producing code for ransomware and viruses, indicating potential improvements in Google's management of the tool's capabilities in the context of cyber offense (63).

Overall, while Google Bard has demonstrated significant im- provements in human-like conversational abilities, it is important to address its limitations, such as potential privacy concerns and its impact on cybersecurity, to ensure responsible usage of the model (13).

## **ChatGPT's Strengths**

Text Generation: Superior in generating coherent, contex- tually relevant text, ideal for content creation. Language Di- versity: Supports more than 20 languages, making it versatile in global communication (75). Extensive Integrations: Offers various plugins and integrations with popular sites and apps. ChatGPT's strengths in comparison to Google Bard. Accord- ing to the study conducted (2), ChatGPT was found to produce texts with less match rate when compared to Google Bard. Ad- ditionally, the study mentions that ChatGPT's matches are in the form of one word or multiple words, while Google Bard's matches are in the form of one sentence or more. This suggests that ChatGPT may be better at generating original and diverse responses to questions, while Google Bard may be better at pro- viding more accurate and contextually relevant responses (44). However, it is important to note that these strengths are based on the specific use case evaluated in the study and may not nec- essarily apply to all scenarios (76).

#### ChatGPT's Limitations

Fixed Dataset: Cannot provide information on events or developments post-2021. Fact-Checking Requirement: Re- sponses may need verification to prevent misinformation.Like any technology, ChatGPT has its limitations. Some of the limi- tations of ChatGPT include:

- 1. Bias: ChatGPT, like any machine learning model, can be biased based on the data it is trained on. If the training data is biased, the model will also be biased (16).
- 2. Lack of common sense: ChatGPT may not have common sense knowledge, which can lead to nonsensical or inappropri- ate responses (77).
- 3. Limited understanding of context: ChatGPT may not al- ways understand the context of a conversation, leading to irrel- evant or incorrect responses (78).
- 4. Limited ability to handle complex tasks: While ChatGPT is capable of generating coherent text, it may not be able to handle complex tasks that require reasoning or problem-solving skills (79).
- 5. Dependence on training data: ChatGPT's performance is heavily dependent on the quality and quantity of the training data it receives. If the training data is insufficient or of poor quality, the model's performance may suffer (80).

It's important to keep these limitations in mind when using ChatGPT or any other machine learning model.

## Top 5 Considerations When Choosing Between Google Bard and ChatGPT

Selecting the right AI chatbot depends on several key factors: Real-Time Data Access: Consider whether up-to-date information is crucial for your purposes, favoring Bard for current data. Content Generation Capabilities: Evaluate if the require- ment is for advanced text generation, in which ChatGPT may be more suitable (68). User Experience: Decide based on how user-friendly and accessible the platform needs to be for your specific audience or use case. Data Privacy: Assess each plat- form's level of privacy, especially if handling sensitive information (81). Cost: Factor in the pricing models, particularly if budget constraints are a consideration, with Bard being free and ChatGPT offering both free and paid versions (82). Un- derstanding these considerations will guide users in making an informed choice between Google Bard and ChatGPT, aligning with their specific requirements and objectives in utilizing AI chatbot technology (22). When choosing between Google Bard and ChatGPT, there are several considerations to take into ac- count to determine which tool best suits your specific needs.

Here are the top 5 considerations:

- 1. Use Case: Consider the specific use case for which you re- quire a generative AI tool. Determine whether you need assis- tance with complex question answering, creative tasks, educa- tional support, or content creation, as this will influence which tool is more suitable for your needs (83).
- 2. Original Text Production: If the generation of original and diverse content is a priority, consider the capabilities of each tool in producing unique and varied text outputs (31). Assess their performance in avoiding high match rates and producing original content after paraphrasing.
- 3. Contextual Responses: Evaluate the tools' abilities to provide contextual responses and natural language search queries (84). Consider whether you require a tool that focuses on providing context and natural language understanding.
- 4. Visual Responses: If visual responses are important for your use case, consider the inclusion of visual responses in Google Bard, enabled by the PaLM language model, and as- sess whether this feature aligns with your requirements (85).

5. Accessibility and Integration: Consider the accessibility and integration of each tool within your existing systems and workflows. Evaluate factors such as ease of access, compatibil- ity with your preferred platforms, and any specific integration requirements for your use case (86).

## Conclusion

In the comparison of Google Bard and ChatGPT, we ob- serve two AI chatbots with distinct strengths and functionali-

ties.Google Bard stands out with its real-time information re- trieval and integration with Google services, making it a valu- able tool for users needing current data and seamless Google product integration.ChatGPT, with its provess in text genera- tion and support for multiple languages, caters to those requir- ing content creation and historical data analysis.

Choosing between these two AI powerhouses depends on specific needs like real-time data, content creation capabilities, user experience, data privacy concerns, and cost.Both Google Bard and ChatGPT represent significant advancements in AI chatbot technology, each with its unique contribution to the evolving landscape of artificial intelligence. Google Bard and ChatGPT are both powerful generative AI tools with unique strengths and limitations. Google Bard excels in providing con- textual responses, offering visual outputs, and assisting with complex question answering, while ChatGPT demonstrates po- tential in generating diverse and original content. When choos- ing between these tools, it is essential to consider the specific use case, the need for original text production, the requirement for contextual and visual responses, and the accessibility and integration of the tool within existing systems.

Ultimately, the choice between Google Bard and ChatGPT should be based on a thorough assessment of these consider- ations to ensure that the selected tool aligns with the specific requirements and objectives of the intended application. Both tools have the potential to provide valuable support across var- ious sectors, and a careful evaluation will help in determining the most suitable tool for the intended use case.

## References

Taha Yacine Ayoub, Alaeddine Amami, and Yassine Hacha"ichi. Expec- tations of ai economic impact & gian ai experiments: Case of chatgpt 3.5.

O" mer AYDIN. Google bard generated literature review: metaverse. *Jour- nal of AI*, 7(1):1–14, 2023. Audi Albtoush. Chatgpt: Revolutionizing user interactions with advanced natural language processing.

#### 4314 Comparative Analysis of Google AI and Chatbot GPT: A Study on Technological Approaches and Applications

- Smita Rath, Adyasha Pattanayak, Sashikanta Tripathy, Sushree Bib- huprada B Priyadarshini, Anjela Tripathy, and Shipra Tanvi. Prediction of a novel rule-based chatbot approach (rca) using natural language pro- cessing techniques. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Ap- plications in Engineering*, 11(3):318–325, 2023.
- Santosh Maher, Sangramsing Kayte, and Sunil Nimbhore. Chatbots & its techniques using ai: an review. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, 8(12):503–508, 2020.
- Ethan Waisberg, Joshua Ong, Mouayad Masalkhi, Nasif Zaman, Prithul Sarker, Andrew G Lee, and Alireza Tavakkoli. Google's ai chatbot "bard": a side-by-side comparison with chatgpt and its utilization in oph- thalmology. *Eye*, pages 1–4, 2023.
- R Santhosh, M Abinaya, V Anusuya, and D Gowthami. Chatgpt: Op- portunities, features and future prospects. In 2023 7th International Con- ference on Trends in Electronics and Informatics (ICOEI), pages 1614–1622. IEEE, 2023.
- Partha Pratim Ray. Chatgpt: A comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations and future scope. *Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems*, 2023.
- Fiona Fui-Hoon Nah, Ruilin Zheng, Jingyuan Cai, Keng Siau, and Lang- tao Chen. Generative ai and chatgpt: Applications, challenges, and ai- human collaboration, 2023.
- Negin Yazdani Motlagh, Matin Khajavi, Abbas Sharifi, and Mohsen Ah- madi. The impact of artificial intelligence on the evolution of digital ed- ucation: A comparative study of openai text generation tools including

chatgpt, bing chat, bard, and ernie. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.02029, 2023.

- Viriya Taecharungroj. "what can chatgpt do?" analyzing early reactions to the innovative ai chatbot on twitter. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 7(1):35, 2023.
- Amir Ali Rahsepar, Neda Tavakoli, Grace Hyun J Kim, Cameron Hassani, Fereidoun Abtin, and Arash Bedayat. How ai responds to common lung cancer questions: Chatgpt vs google bard. *Radiology*, 307(5):e230922, 2023.
- Imtiaz Ahmed, Ayon Roy, Mashrafi Kajol, Uzma Hasan, Partha Protim Datta, and Md Rokonuzzaman Reza. Chatgpt vs. bard: a comparative study. *Authorea Preprints*, 2023.
- Muhammad Usman Hadi, Rizwan Qureshi, Abbas Shah, Muhammad Irfan, Anas Zafar, Muhammad Bilal Shaikh, Naveed Akhtar, Jia Wu, Seyedali Mirjalili, et al. Large language models: a comprehensive survey of its applications, challenges, limitations, and future prospects. *Authorea Preprints*, 2023.
- Wesley Tann, Yuancheng Liu, Jun Heng Sim, Choon Meng Seah, and Ee-Chien Chang. Using large language models for cybersecurity capture-the-flag challenges and certification questions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10443, 2023.
- Hossein Hassani and Emmanuel Sirmal Silva. The role of chatgpt in data science: how ai-assisted conversational interfaces are revolutionizing the field. *Big data and cognitive computing*, 7(2):62, 2023.
- Jeremy Leipzig, Daniel Nu"st, Charles Tapley Hoyt, Karthik Ram, and Jane Greenberg. The role of metadata in reproducible computational re- search. *Patterns*, 2(9), 2021.
- Alexandru Silviu Goga. Recent developments and ethics of artificial in- telligence. safeguards of chatgpt4 and bard. 2023.
- Kevin B Korb, Erik P Nyberg, Abraham Oshni Alvandi, Shreshth Thakur, Mehmet Ozmen, Yang Li, Ross Pearson, and Ann E Nicholson. Individ- uals vs. bard: Experimental evaluation of an online system for structured, collaborative bayesian reasoning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11:1054, 2020.
- Thomas Hoppner and Luke Streatfeild. Chatgpt, bard & co.: An introduc- tion to ai for competition and regulatory lawyers. An Introduction to AI for Competition and Regulatory Lawyers (February 23, 2023), 9, 2023.
- Gia Paige Soles. Clark atlanta university talent conference: Ai principles in practice at google. Advertising & Society Quarterly, 24(4), 2023.
- Anam Nazir and Ze Wang. A comprehensive survey of chatgpt: Ad- vancements, applications, prospects, and challenges. *Meta-radiology*, page 100022, 2023.

- Ayodele Morocco-Clarke, Fadila Abubakar Sodangi, and Fatima Mo- modu. The implications and effects of chatgpt on academic scholarship and authorship: a death knell for original academic publications? *Infor- mation & Communications Technology Law*, pages 1–21, 2023.
- Ahmad Alshami, Moustafa Elsayed, Eslam Ali, Abdelrahman EE El- toukhy, and Tarek Zayed. Harnessing the power of chatgpt for automating systematic review process: Methodology, case study, limitations, and fu- ture directions. *Systems*, 11(7):351, 2023.
- Hasnan Baber, Kiran Nair, Ruchi Gupta, and Kuldeep Gurjar. The be- ginning of chatgpt-a systematic and bibliometric review of the literature. *Information and Learning Sciences*, 2023.
- Tongshuang Wu, Michael Terry, and Carrie Jun Cai. Ai chains: Trans- parent and controllable human-ai interaction by chaining large language model prompts. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 1–22, 2022.
- Krzysztof Wach, Cong Doanh Duong, Joanna Ejdys, Ru<sup>-</sup>ta Kazlauskaite<sup>-</sup>, Pawel Korzynski, Grzegorz Mazurek, Joanna Paliszkiewicz, and Ewa Ziemba. The dark side of generative artificial intelligence: A critical analysis of controversies and risks of chatgpt. *Entrepreneurial Business and Economics Review*, 11(2):7–30, 2023.
- David Noever and Samantha Elizabeth Miller Noever. Decoding imagery: Unleashing large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16705*, 2023.
- Perry Arthur Reed. Is ChatGPT Creative? Cognitive-affective Responses to AI-generated Stories. PhD thesis, Fielding Graduate University, 2023.
- Emma Saurini. Creativity in art and academia: Analyzing the effects of ai technology through the lens of chatgpt. 2023.
- Shahab Saquib Sohail, Faiza Farhat, Yassine Himeur, Mohammad Nadeem, Dag Øivind Madsen, Yashbir Singh, Shadi Atalla, and Wathiq
- Mansoor. Decoding chatgpt: a taxonomy of existing research, cur- rent challenges, and possible future directions. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, page 101675, 2023.
- Moatsum Alawida, Sami Mejri, Abid Mehmood, Belkacem Chikhaoui, and Oludare Isaac Abiodun. A comprehensive study of chatgpt: advance- ments, limitations, and ethical considerations in natural language process- ing and cybersecurity. *Information*, 14(8):462, 2023.
- Sharon Lauricella and T Keith Edmunds. Ludic pedagogy meets chatgpt: An application of fun, play, playfulness, and positivity to a technological context. *Journal of Educational Informatics*, 4(2):3–19, 2023.
- Shadi AlZu'bi, Ala Mughaid, Fatima Quiam, and Samar Hendawi. Ex- ploring the capabilities and limitations of chatgpt and alternative big lan- guage models. In *Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, 2022.
- Bonan Min, Hayley Ross, Elior Sulem, Amir Pouran Ben Veyseh, Thien Huu Nguyen, Oscar Sainz, Eneko Agirre, Ilana Heintz, and Dan Roth. Recent advances in natural language processing via large pre- trained language models: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(2):1–40, 2023.
- Xuan-Quy Dao. Which large language model should you use in viet- namese education: Chatgpt, bing chat, or bard? *Bing Chat, or Bard*, 2023.
- Eva Cetinic and James She. Understanding and creating art with ai: Re- view and outlook. ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Com- munications, and Applications (TOMM), 18(2):1–22, 2022.
- Adebowale Jeremy Adetayo and Wosilat Omolara Oyeniyi. Revitalizing reference services and fostering information literacy: Google bard's dy- namic role in contemporary libraries. *Library Hi Tech News*, 2023.
- Giuseppe Taddeo. A virtual assistant to manage cloud performance mon- itoring tools. 2022.
- Deniz Nazarova. Check for updates application of artificial intelligence in mental healthcare: Generative pre-trained transformer 3 (gpt-3) and cognitive. In *Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference (FTC) 2023, Volume 1*, volume 813, page 204. Springer Nature, 2023.
- Jabeur Methnani, Imed Latiri, Ismail Dergaa, Karim Chamari, and Helmi Ben Saad. Chatgpt for samplesize calculation in sports medicine and exercise sciences: a cautionary note. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 1(aop):1–5, 2023.

- Mohd Javaid, Abid Haleem, and Ravi Pratap Singh. Chatgpt for healthcare services: An emerging stage for an innovative perspective. *BenchCouncil Transactions on Benchmarks, Standards and Evaluations*, 3(1):100105, 2023.
- Yihan Cao, Siyu Li, Yixin Liu, Zhiling Yan, Yutong Dai, Philip S Yu, and Lichao Sun. A comprehensive survey of ai-generated content (aigc): A history of generative ai from gan to chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04226*, 2023.
- Anup Kumar D Dhanvijay, Mohammed Jaffer Pinjar, Nitin Dhokane, Smita R Sorte, Amita Kumari, Himel Mondal, and Anoop Kumar Dhan- vijay. Performance of large language models (chatgpt, bing search, and google bard) in solving case vignettes in physiology. *Currens*, 15(8), 2023.
- Leslie Stebbins. Student guide to research in the digital age: how to locate and evaluate information sources. Bloomsbury Publishing USA, 2005.
- Nitin Rane, Saurabh Choudhary, and Jayesh Rane. Integrating chatgpt, bard, and leading-edge generative artificial intelligence in building and construction industry: applications, framework, challenges, and future scope. 2023.
- Dinesh Kalla and Nathan Smith. Study and analysis of chat gpt and its impact on different fields of study. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, 8(3), 2023.
- Thomas F Heston and Charya Khun. Prompt engineering in medical ed- ucation. *International Medical Education*, 2(3):198–205, 2023.
- Pongsakorn Limna and Tanpat Kraiwanit. The role of chatgpt on cus- tomer service in the hospitality industry: An exploratory study of hos- pitality workers' experiences and perceptions. *Tourism and hospitality management*, 29(4):583–592, 2023.
- Rick Kye Gan, Jude Chukwuebuka Ogbodo, Yong Zheng Wee, Ann Zee Gan, and Pedro Arcos Gonza'lez. Performance of google bard and chatgpt in mass casualty incidents triage. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 75:72–78, 2024.
- James Boyko, Joseph Cohen, Nathan Fox, Maria Han Veiga, Jennifer I Li, Jing Liu, Bernardo Modenesi, Andreas H Rauch, Kenneth N Reid, Soumi
- Tribedi, et al. An interdisciplinary outlook on large language models for scientific research. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04929, 2023.
- Mohammad Hassanzadeh. Intelligent agents and facilities for knowledge management: Chatgpt and beyond. Sciences & Techniques of Information Management, 8(4), 2022.
- Dominik K Kanbach, Louisa Heiduk, Georg Blueher, Maximilian Schre- iter, and Alexander Lahmann. The genai is out of the bottle: generative artificial intelligence from a business model innovation perspective. *Re- view of Managerial Science*, pages 1–32, 2023.
- Nitin Liladhar Rane. Multidisciplinary collaboration: key players in suc- cessful implementation of chatgpt and similar generative artificial intel- ligence in manufacturing, finance, retail, transportation, and construction industry. 2023.
- Shengquan Yu and Yu Lu. An introduction to artificial intelligence in education. Springer, 2021.
- Lu Wang, Max Song, Rezvaneh Rezapour, Bum Chul Kwon, and Jina Huh-Yoo. People's perceptions toward bias and related concepts in large language models: A systematic review. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.14504*, 2023.
- Graziella Orru`, Andrea Piarulli, Ciro Conversano, and Angelo Gemignani. Human-like problem-solving abilities in large language mod- els using chatgpt. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 6:1199350, 2023.
- Yupeng Chang, Xu Wang, Jindong Wang, Yuan Wu, Kaijie Zhu, Hao Chen, Linyi Yang, Xiaoyuan Yi, Cunxiang Wang, Yidong Wang, et al. A survey on evaluation of large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.03109, 2023.
- Rohan Anil, Andrew M Dai, Orhan Firat, Melvin Johnson, Dmitry Lep- ikhin, Alexandre Passos, Siamak Shakeri, Emanuel Taropa, Paige Bai- ley, Zhifeng Chen, et al. Palm 2 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10403, 2023.

- Andreas Liesenfeld, Alianda Lopez, and Mark Dingemanse. Open- ing up chatgpt: Tracking openness, transparency, and accountability in instruction-tuned text generators. In *Proceedings of the 5th international conference on conversational user interfaces*, pages 1–6, 2023.
- Yu-Chang Hsu and Yu-Hui Ching. Generative artificial intelligence in education, part one: the dynamic frontier. *TechTrends*, pages 1–5, 2023.
- Bernd Carsten Stahl and Damian Eke. The ethics of chatgpt-exploring the ethical issues of an emerging technology. *International Journal of Information Management*, 74:102700, 2024.
- Maanak Gupta, CharanKumar Akiri, Kshitiz Aryal, Eli Parker, and Lopa- mudra Praharaj. From chatgpt to threatgpt: Impact of generative ai in cybersecurity and privacy. *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- Danhuai Guo, Huixuan Chen, Ruoling Wu, and Yangang Wang. Aigc challenges and opportunities related to public safety: a case study of chat- gpt. *Journal of Safety Science and Resilience*, 4(4):329–339, 2023.
- Saumyamani Bhardwaz and Jitender Kumar. An extensive comparative analysis of chatbot technologieschatgpt, google bard and microsoft bing. In 2023 2nd International Conference on Applied Artificial Intelligence and Computing (ICAAIC), pages 673–679. IEEE, 2023.
- Yewande Alice Marquis, Tunbosun Oyewale Oladoyinbo, Samuel Oladi- ipo Olabanji, Oluwaseun Oladeji Olaniyi, and Samson Abidemi Ajayi. Proliferation of ai tools: A multifaceted evaluation of user perceptions and emerging trend. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports, 18(1):30–35, 2024.
- Rosen Ivanov. Exhibitxplorer: Enabling personalized content delivery in museums using contextual geofencing and artificial intelligence. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 12(10):434, 2023.
- Xiaodong Wu, Ran Duan, and Jianbing Ni. Unveiling security, privacy, and ethical concerns of chatgpt. *Journal of Information and Intelligence*, 2023.
- Ryan Craig. Apprentice Nation: How the "Earn and Learn" Alternative to Higher Education Will Create a Stronger and Fairer America. Ben- Bella Books, 2023.
- Dong Zhang. Should chatgpt and bard share revenue with their data providers? a new business model for the ai era. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.02555*, 2023.
- Tegwen Malik, Yogesh Dwivedi, Nir Kshetri, Laurie Hughes, Emma Louise Slade, Anand Jeyaraj, Arpan Kumar Kar, Abdullah M Baabdullah, Alex Koohang, Vishnupriya Raghavan, et al. "so what if chatgpt wrote it?" multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, chal- lenges and implications of generative conversational ai for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71:102642, 2023.
- Galina Ilieva, Tania Yankova, Stanislava Klisarova-Belcheva, Angel Dim- itrov, Marin Bratkov, and Delian Angelov. Effects of generative chatbots in higher education. *Information*, 14(9):492, 2023.
- Kostis Giannakopoulos, Argyro Kavadella, Anas Aaqel Salim, Vassilis Stamatopoulos, and Eleftherios G Kaklamanos. Evaluation of the perfor- mance of generative ai large language models chatgpt, google bard, and microsoft bing chat in supporting evidence-based dentistry: Comparative mixed methods study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25:e51580, 2023.
- Ziwei Ji, Nayeon Lee, Rita Frieske, Tiezheng Yu, Dan Su, Yan Xu, Et- suko Ishii, Ye Jin Bang, Andrea Madotto, and Pascale Fung. Survey of hallucination in natural language generation. ACM Computing Surveys, 55(12):1–38, 2023.
- Franciskus Antonius, Purnachandra Rao Alapati, Mahyudin Ritonga, In- drajit Patra, Yousef A Baker El-Ebiary, Myagmarsuren Orosoo, and Manikandan Rengarajan. Incorporating natural language processing into virtual assistants: An intelligent assessment strategy for enhancing lan- guage comprehension. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Sci- ence and Applications*, 14(10), 2023.
- Sue Richards, Nazneen Aziz, Sherri Bale, David Bick, Soma Das, Julie Gastier-Foster, Wayne W Grody, Madhuri Hegde, Elaine Lyon, Elaine Spector, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the american college of medical genetics and genomics and the association for molecular pathol- ogy. *Genetics in medicine*, 17(5):405–423, 2015.

#### 4318 Comparative Analysis of Google AI and Chatbot GPT: A Study on Technological Approaches and Applications

- Linhan Li, Huaping Zhang, Chunjin Li, Haowen You, and Wenyao Cui. Evaluation on chatgpt for chinese language understanding. *Data Intelli-* gence, 5(4):885–903, 2023.
- Lisa Miracchi Titus. Does chatgpt have semantic understanding? a prob- lem with the statistics-ofoccurrence strategy. *Cognitive Systems Re- search*, 83:101174, 2024.
- Md Mostafizer Rahman and Yutaka Watanobe. Chatgpt for education and research: Opportunities, threats, and strategies. *Applied Sciences*, 13(9):5783, 2023.
- Yiheng Liu, Tianle Han, Siyuan Ma, Jiayue Zhang, Yuanyuan Yang, Ji- aming Tian, Hao He, Antong Li, Mengshen He, Zhengliang Liu, et al. Summary of chatgpt-related research and perspective towards the future of large language models. *Meta-Radiology*, page 100017, 2023.
- Florian Schaub, Rebecca Balebako, Adam L Durity, and Lorrie Faith Cra- nor. A design space for effective privacy notices. In *Eleventh symposium on usable privacy and security (SOUPS 2015)*, pages 1–17, 2015.
- MARTA CATERINA CABRA. What if chatgpt wrote it? a research on consumers perception.
- Christof Ebert and Panos Louridas. Generative ai for software practition- ers. *IEEE Software*, 40(4):30–38, 2023.
- Kimiya Keyvan and Jimmy Xiangji Huang. How to approach ambigu- ous queries in conversational search: A survey of techniques, approaches, tools, and challenges. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(6):1–40, 2022.
- Wenqi Shao, Yutao Hu, Peng Gao, Meng Lei, Kaipeng Zhang, Fanqing Meng, Peng Xu, Siyuan Huang, Hongsheng Li, Yu Qiao, et al. Tiny lvlm-ehub: Early multimodal experiments with bard. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.03729, 2023.
- Digitalizing building integrated photovoltaic (bipv) conceptual design: A framework and an example platform. *Building and Environment*, 243:110675, 2023.