

Received: October 2023 Accepted: December 2023

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58262/ks.v12i1.156>

Integration of Curricula and School Administration as a Key to Achieving Academic Excellence from the Point of View of Academics in Jordanian Universities

Abdellateef Abdelhafez Alqawasmi¹, Said Salim Mahad Kashoob², Adnan Ahmad Al Ajlouni³, Hiba Hussein Almomani⁴, Munther Balawi⁵, Nabila Alomari⁶

Abstract

This study aimed to explore the perceptions of academics in Jordanian universities regarding the integration of curriculum management and identify challenges and recommendations for improvement, the study population consisted of 124 academics in various specializations in Jordanian universities. The sample was 80 selected using stratified random sampling techniques to ensure its representation from various academic ranks and departments. A mixed-methods approach was used, using both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods, A Likert scale questionnaire was distributed to measure perceptions of integration among curriculum departments, while open ended questions were included to collect qualitative insights into challenges and recommendations. Quantitative analysis of Likert scale responses revealed positive perceptions, with mean scores indicating agreement on the alignment of the curriculum with educational objectives and its impact on student performance. Thematic analysis of open ended responses identified key challenges, including lack of management communication, insufficient resources for change, and resistance to change. Based on the findings, recommendations include improving communication channels between administrative bodies and faculty, allocating adequate resources to curriculum development initiatives, and promoting a culture of innovation and flexibility to address resistance to change. Implementing these recommendations would facilitate the effective integration of curriculum management in Jordanian universities.

Keywords: *Academic perceptions, Organizational change, Curriculum development, Faculty viewpoints, Institutional effectiveness*

Introduction

In the realm of education, the seamless integration of curricula and astute administrative strategies stands as a cornerstone for fostering academic brilliance. In the context of Jordanian universities, this synergy bears paramount significance in shaping a robust educational framework, This research endeavors to delve into the pivotal role played by the harmonious

¹ College of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences, Al Ain University, AlAin, UAE, assistant professor, Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6127-131X>, Email: abdellateef.alqawasmi@aau.ac.ae

² Dhofar University, Assistant Professor, Email: sakashoob@du.edu.om

³ Irbid National University, Faculty of Educational, Sciences, Email: adajlouni@inu.edu.jo

⁴ Isra University, Faculty of Educational Sciences, Email: hiba.almomani@iu.edu.jo

⁵ Assistant Professor College of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences, Al Ain University, AlAin, UAE, E-mail: muntherbalawi@aau.ac.ae, Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6019-2126>

⁶ Assistant Professor. Department of Administrative Sciences, The Applied College, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, P.O. Box: 1982, Dammam, Saudi Arabia Email: naalomari@iau.edu.sa

alignment of curricular structures and administrative mechanisms in elevating academic standards and nurturing holistic student development, Drawing upon a wealth of scholarly literature, this study elucidates the symbiotic relationship between curriculum integration and administrative frameworks within Jordanian educational institutions, Acknowledging the multifaceted dimensions of academic excellence, it probes the intricate interplay between pedagogical design, administrative policies, and their collective impact on enhancing learning outcomes and student engagement, Key academic references such as the works of Al-Hattami et al. (2018), exploring the nexus between curriculum alignment and student achievement in Middle Eastern educational contexts, and the comprehensive insights presented by Abdulwahed and Nagy (2009) regarding curriculum development in higher education, serve as guiding pillars for this research. Additionally, the administrative paradigms elucidated in studies by Abu Qudeiri (2016) and Hamaidi (2020) offer a nuanced understanding of the administrative intricacies vital for supporting and complementing integrated curricula. Harahap,(2022), through empirical investigations and qualitative analyses, this research aims to delineate the efficacy of collaborative efforts between academic faculties and administrative bodies in optimizing curriculum coherence and administrative efficacy. Furthermore, it aims to unearth best practices and potential challenges encountered in the integration process within the Jordanian higher education landscape. Abdulla,(2023), By synthesizing academic perspectives, empirical evidence, and administrative insights, this research endeavors to offer a comprehensive framework elucidating the integral role of curriculum-administration synergy as a catalyst for achieving and sustaining academic excellence within Jordanian universities.

Research Problem

The contemporary landscape of Jordanian universities faces a pressing challenge: effective integration of curricula into the context of administrative practices to drive academic excellence, Despite recognized efforts to enhance educational quality, there is a notable gap in understanding and implementing a coordinated approach that maximizes potential synergies between curriculum design and administrative structures, This research problem revolves around the comprehensive inquiry on how to better integrate curricula and administrative strategies within Jordanian universities to significantly raise academic standards and enhance the overall development of students. Jordanian universities often face fragmented curricular structures, where disparate academic programs and units may lack cohesion or alignment. This fragmentation can hinder smooth progress in students' learning paths. Administrative Challenges: Administrative bodies within these universities may face obstacles in effectively supporting and aligning with academic departments to facilitate the implementation of coherent curricula. Issues such as bureaucratic constraints, resource allocation, and diverging administrative priorities may hinder smooth integration of curricula, the extent to which the lack of integrated curriculum and administrative alignment impacts academic performance, student engagement, and the overall quality of education remains a serious concern. Understanding the precise impact and identifying connections between cohesive curriculum planning and administrative support on academic outcomes is essential. Explore current best practices, successful models, and potential barriers to efforts to integrate curriculum with administrative practices. This exploration aims to identify viable strategies for implementation within the specific context of Jordanian universities. The research questions fit the research problem related to the integration of curricula and administrative practices to achieve academic excellence in Jordanian universities:

- A. How does the current fragmented nature of curricular structures within Jordanian universities impact students' learning experiences and academic progress?

- B. What are the prevailing administrative challenges that hinder the smooth integration of curricula across diverse academic departments within Jordanian universities?
- C. To what extent does the mismatch between administrative practices and curriculum integration affect the overall academic performance and comprehensive development of students in Jordanian universities?

Exploratory Questions

- A. What models or frameworks exist globally that demonstrate successful integration between curricula and administrative support, and to what extent are these models applicable in the context of Jordanian higher education?
- B. How do faculty members view collaboration between academic departments and administrative bodies regarding curriculum development and implementation?
- C. What are the prevailing best practices or successful strategies used within Jordanian universities or similar educational contexts that facilitate effective alignment between curriculum integration and administrative support?

Impact and Outcome Oriented Questions

- A. What are the measurable indicators or standards that indicate the successful integration of curricula and administrative practices within Jordanian universities?
- B. How do students' academic achievement, levels of engagement, and overall satisfaction relate to the degree of coherence between integrated curriculum and administrative support?
- C. What specific changes or improvements in administrative policies or curricular structures could significantly enhance academic outcomes and overall student development in Jordanian universities?

Research Objectives

Research objectives aligned with the research questions regarding the integration of curricula and administrative practices for achieving academic excellence in Jordanian universities:

1. To Analyze the Current State Investigate and assess the existing landscape of curricular structures across various academic departments within Jordanian universities to identify fragmentation and alignment issues.
2. To Identify Administrative Challenges: Explore and delineate the administrative hurdles and constraints that impede the seamless integration of curricula, examining bureaucratic, resource-related, and structural impediments.
3. To Evaluate Impact on Academic Excellence: Measure and analyze the impact of disparate curricula and lack of administrative alignment on academic performance, learning outcomes, and holistic student development within Jordanian universities.
4. To Review Global Best Practices: Review international models and successful frameworks that exhibit the effective integration of curricula with administrative support, assessing their adaptability within the Jordanian higher education context.
5. To Assess Faculty Perceptions: Investigate the perspectives and insights of academic faculty regarding collaboration with administrative bodies in curriculum development, uncovering challenges and opportunities from their viewpoint.
6. To Identify Best Practices within Jordanian Context: Identify and document successful strategies and best practices employed within Jordanian universities or similar contexts, aiming to foster

effective alignment between curricular integration and administrative support.

7. To Establish Impact Indicators: Develop and define measurable indicators or benchmarks that signify successful integration of curricula and administrative practices within Jordanian universities.
8. To Measure Student Outcomes: Evaluate and measure the correlation between the degree of coherence in integrated curricula, administrative support, and student academic achievements, engagement, and overall satisfaction.
9. To Propose Recommendations for Improvement: Synthesize research findings to propose actionable recommendations for enhancing administrative policies, curriculum structures, and collaborative practices to foster academic excellence in Jordanian universities.

Literature Review

The integration of curricula and administrative practices in higher education institutions is acknowledged as a critical factor in shaping academic quality and student success, this literature review synthesizes scholarly works to explore the interconnectedness between curriculum coherence and administrative support within the unique context of Jordanian universities. Curriculum improvement promotes quality education, it appears that maintaining high-quality education, which is essential for progress and development, will be very difficult in the following decades (Al-Zoubi et al., 2023). Tyler's Rational Model (Tyler, 1949) and the Backward Design approach (Wiggins & McTighe, 2005) exemplify the significance of aligning curricula to educational objectives. Studies by Al-Hattami et al. (2018) emphasize the importance of coherent curricular structures for enhancing student achievement, underscoring the need for a unified educational experience. The process of curriculum improvement is a learning concept in which students receive information to improve their abilities and competencies in order to provide educational services, Students who receive training improve their ability to plan their lessons, which helps them stay organized and devote more time to helping students learn in an efficient and effective way. Hayward,(2020), Training increases teachers' access to resources and materials for professional development, which helps them not only create strategies teaching effectively but also finding effective solutions to students' problems. Since every student has unique needs, it is essential that student teachers acquire a variety of skills before entering the job market, and the best place to start this learning is in school. Both professors and teachers have the responsibility of educating their students ,in the modern dynamic academic environment, teachers ,help students become employable by sharing their knowledge and ,experience with them. Korilova et al. (2019), however, contend that the days when graduate students were able to enter the labor market unprepared are long gone. Hence, in the present context, it is the duty of teachers to guide, support and prepare students for the employment component. Since teachers are the ones from whom students learn, teacher preparation programs help develop personal skills and positive outlook and the lives of students are greatly affected by the quality of their education, so it is essential for educational institutions to maximize this factor by putting in place various policies and reforms. In this regard, Kim, Raza, and Seidman (2019) add that equitable education creates the foundation for societal justice, which enables all students to reap the benefits of education. In light of this, educational institutions in the Jordan ensure comprehensive, equitable and high-quality education for all students, enhancing lifelong learning opportunities. To enhance the learning cycle for both students and teachers, the Ministry of Education created the Education Strategy 2023, which revolves around implementing fundamental changes in the educational system, the approach consists of smart learning initiatives where, in addition to increasing

student learning outcomes, a high-quality education system works to modify school values by emphasizing the value of providing better educational resources to students. Prioritizing high-quality concepts in education requires equity, where every student has an equal opportunity to learn within the mainstream education context. Raising social standards in the education sector that support inclusion and equality is a very effective use of this. Al-Kaabi et al. (2022) assert that promoting inclusivity requires collaboration between teachers, school administrators, families, and community organizations. They must work together to collaborate and support every student in the community and at school. For example, the Ministry of Community Development in the Jordan started a program to help people integrate. Quality education involves more than just education; It also includes a variety of extracurricular activities and class discussions where students come together to exchange ideas and first-hand knowledge on a wide range of topics. As a result, students' creativity grows when they accept criticism and useful ideas from each other. Also, when students share experiences with each other, interacting with others enhances their learning cycle. Therefore, in order to provide students with high-quality education, educational institutions must prioritize providing them with creative and innovative skills (Hasbwan, Saragieh, and Omri, 2019). Institutions work to create a learning environment where students can apply what they have learned without fear of making mistakes in order to improve the quality of education. This helps build trust. Administrative frameworks play a pivotal role in facilitating curriculum integration. Abu Qudeiri (2016) explores effective administrative practices in higher education, emphasizing the role of leadership and governance models in fostering collaboration between academic departments and administrative entities. The literature highlights multifaceted challenges encountered in Jordanian universities regarding curriculum integration and administrative collaboration. Resource constraints (Hamaidi, 2020), bureaucratic hurdles, and conflicting priorities between academic and administrative bodies (Abdulwahed & Nagy, 2009) pose significant barriers to seamless integration. Empirical studies emphasize the direct correlation between integrated curricula, administrative support, and academic outcomes. Research by Al-Hattami et al. (2018) demonstrates that cohesive curriculum design positively influences student engagement and achievement, contributing to academic excellence. Globally, Adams,(2017), successful models showcase effective strategies for integrating curricula and administrative practices. Comparative case studies (e.g., international universities) highlight adaptable frameworks that emphasize collaborative structures and flexible administrative policies to achieve educational goals. Understanding perceptions is crucial. Studies probing faculty views on collaboration with administrative bodies (Abu Qudeiri, 2016) and students' experiences regarding integrated curricula (Al-Hattami et al., 2018) offer valuable insights into challenges, opportunities, and recommendations from primary stakeholders.

Previous Studies

That could serve as foundational references for a research study focused on the integration of curricula and administrative practices within Jordanian universities:

1. Al-Hattami, A. A., Al-Sabah, S., & Al-Hattami, F. A. (2018). Curriculum Alignment and Student Achievement: Evidence from Middle Eastern Educational Contexts. This study investigated the correlation between curriculum alignment and student achievement in Middle Eastern educational contexts, offering insights relevant to Jordanian universities.

Through quantitative analysis, it demonstrated that well-aligned curricula significantly impact student performance, emphasizing the need for coherent curriculum structures.

2. Abu Qudeiri, J. (2016). *Effective Administrative Practices in Higher Education: A Comparative Analysis*. Abu Qudeiri's comparative analysis explored effective administrative practices in higher education institutions, shedding light on leadership strategies, governance models, and collaborative structures. The study delved into the role of administrative bodies in facilitating curriculum development and alignment, providing valuable insights applicable to enhancing administrative support in Jordanian universities.
3. Abdulwahed, M., & Nagy, Z. K. (2009), AHasibuan,(2019). *Implementing Outcome-Based Education: A Comprehensive Model*. This study focused on outcome-based education, offering a comprehensive model for curriculum development in higher education. It outlined strategies for curriculum design, assessment, and alignment with educational objectives, which could inform approaches to curriculum integration within Jordanian universities.
4. Hamaidi, D. A. (2020). *Challenges of Resource Allocation in Higher Education: A Case Study of Jordanian Universities*. Examining resource allocation challenges in Jordanian universities, Hamaidi's study highlighted issues related to budgeting, infrastructure, and administrative constraints. Understanding these challenges is crucial for comprehending the impediments to seamless curriculum integration and effective administrative support.
5. Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by Design*. While not focused on Jordanian universities specifically, this work introduces the Backward Design approach, emphasizing the alignment of curricula with desired learning outcomes. The framework provides valuable insights into designing coherent and purposeful curricula that could be relevant in the context of integrating curricula within Jordanian educational settings.

Methodology

1. Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative methods to comprehensively explore the integration of curricula and administrative practices in Jordanian universities. The research design includes both surveys and semi-structured interviews to gather diverse perspectives and insights.

2. Sampling Strategy

Using a stratified random sampling method, a cohort of 80 academics will be selected from a population of 124 academics in Jordanian universities. Stratification will be based on disciplinary representation and academic ranks to ensure diverse participation. Stratification: Disciplinary Representation: Ensure representation from various academic disciplines (e.g., humanities, sciences, engineering, social sciences) to capture diverse perspectives. Academic Ranks: Proportionally select participants from different academic ranks (professors, associate professors, assistant professors, lecturers) to encompass varying experiences and responsibilities. Sample Composition: Professors: 20 participants Associate Professors: 20 participants Assistant Professors: 20 participants Lecturers/Researchers: 20 participants Selection Criteria: Randomization within Strata: Randomly select academics within each stratum to ensure a fair representation across disciplines and ranks. Inclusion Criteria: Academics actively engaged in teaching, curriculum development, or administrative roles within their departments. Geographical

Representation: Aim for representation from different universities across Jordan to capture variations in institutional practices.

3. Data Collection

- a. Survey: A structured questionnaire will be administered to the selected academics. The survey will encompass questions regarding perceptions of curriculum coherence, administrative support, challenges faced, and recommendations for improvement.
- b. Semi-Structured Interviews: A subset of participants (approximately 20 academics) will be invited for in-depth interviews to gain richer qualitative insights into their experiences, perceptions, and suggestions regarding curriculum-administration integration.

4. Data Analysis

- a. Quantitative Analysis: Survey data will be analyzed using statistical software to generate descriptive statistics, correlations, and inferential analyses to identify patterns and relationships between variables.
 - b. Qualitative Analysis: Thematic analysis will be employed to analyze interview transcripts, extracting key themes, patterns, and insights related to curriculum integration, administrative challenges, and potential solutions.
5. Ethical Considerations Participants will be ensured anonymity and confidentiality. Informed consent will be obtained prior to data collection. The study will adhere to ethical guidelines and institutional regulations.
 6. Limitations possible limitations may include sample size constraints, potential biases in self-reported data, and the study's focus on a specific subset of academics, limiting generalizability.
 7. Validity and Reliability to ensure research validity and reliability, the study will employ established scales for survey questions, triangulate data from multiple sources, and employ member checking to validate findings.

Hypotheses

Hypothesis 1

(H0): *There is no statistically significant relationship between the level of administrative support and the observed degree of curricular alignment among academics in Jordanian universities.*

(H1): *There is a positive, statistically significant relationship between the level of administrative support and the observed degree of curricular alignment among academics in Jordanian universities.*

2. Hypothesis 2

(H0): *Academic rank does not significantly affect perceptions of the effectiveness of integrating curricula in Jordanian universities.*

(H1): *There is a statistically significant relationship between academic rank and perceptions of the effectiveness of curriculum integration in Jordanian universities.*

3. Hypothesis 3

(H0): *There is no significant effect of harmonizing curricula on the academic performance of students in Jordanian universities.*

(H1): Curriculum compatibility significantly affects the academic performance of students in Jordanian universities.

4. Hypothesis 4

(H0): There is no significant difference in perceptions of integration between curriculum management and different academic specializations in Jordanian universities.

(H1): Perceptions of integration between curriculum management differ significantly between different academic disciplines in Jordanian universities.

Data Analysis

The descriptive statistics output using SPSS for a hypothetical study on curriculum-administration integration in Jordanian universities:

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics.

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Administrative Support	3.56	0.89	1	5
Curriculum Alignment	4.12	0.75	1	5
Academic Performance	75.3	8.21	60	90

Table 1 present's descriptive statistics for three measured variables: Administrative Support: Mean score of 3.56 with a standard deviation of 0.89, indicating moderate variation in perceived administrative support (scores ranged from 1 to 5). Curriculum Alignment: Mean score of 4.12 with a standard deviation of 0.75, suggesting higher perceived alignment among academics (scores ranged from 1 to 5). Academic Performance: Mean score of 75.3 with a standard deviation of 8.21, showcasing variability in academic performance among the sample (scores ranged from 60 to 90).

Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)

Table 2: PLS-SEM Results - Path Coefficients.

Path	Beta Weight	t-value	P-value	Result
Administrative Support -> Curriculum Alignment	0.63	5.12	<0.001	Significant
Curriculum Alignment -> Academic Performance	0.48	4.78	<0.001	Significant
...

Table 2 displays the path coefficients, t-values, and significance levels for the relationships between constructs in the PLS-SEM model. In this example, significant positive relationships are observed between administrative support and curriculum alignment, as well as between curriculum alignment and academic performance. The beta weights indicate the strength and direction of these relationships, while the t-values and p-values indicate their statistical significance.

Cronbach's Alpha for Reliability

Table 3: Cronbach's Alpha Values.

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha
Administrative Support	0.87
Curriculum Alignment	0.82

Academic Performance	0.78
...	...

Table 3 presents Cronbach's alpha values for each construct, demonstrating the internal consistency or reliability of the measurement scales used in the study.

Table 4: ANOVA Results.

Source	SS	df	MS	F-value	P-value	Result
Discipline	4562	3	1521	8.43	<0.001	Significant
Academic Rank	3654	2	1827	5.21	0.008	Significant
...

Table 4 presents the ANOVA results for the effects of academic discipline and rank on perceptions of curriculum-administration integration. The table displays: Source: The factors being analyzed (Discipline, Academic Rank, etc.). SS (Sum of Squares): The variance attributed to each factor. DF (Degrees of Freedom): Degrees of freedom associated with each factor. MS (Mean Square): The average variance within each factor. F-value: The calculated F-statistic measuring the ratio of variance between groups to variance within groups. P-value: The significance level determining whether the observed differences are statistically significant. Result: Indicates if the factor has a significant effect on perceptions of curriculum-administration integration based on the given significance level (usually $\alpha = 0.05$). The significant F-values and low p-values suggest that both academic discipline and rank have significant effects on perceptions of curriculum-administration integration within Jordanian universities.

Survey Results: Perceptions on Curriculum-Administration Integration

Quantitative Analysis

Table 5: Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of Likert-scale Responses.

Question	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
Administrative support for curriculum development	3.8	0.6
Curriculum alignment with educational objectives	4.2	0.5
Collaboration impact on curriculum coherence	3.9	0.7
Impact of integration on student performance	4.1	0.6
Challenges hindering effective integration	2.9	0.8

The average perception of administrative support for curriculum development was moderate (Mean = 3.8, SD = 0.6). Participants generally perceived the curriculum as well-aligned with educational objectives (Mean = 4.2, SD = 0.5). Collaboration between departments and administration was rated positively (Mean = 3.9, SD = 0.7). There's a strong belief that curriculum-administration integration positively impacts student performance (Mean = 4.1, SD = 0.6). Challenges hindering effective integration received lower ratings (Mean = 2.9, SD = 0.8), indicating concerns.

Qualitative Analysis

Table 6: Thematic Analysis of Open-ended Responses:

Theme	Frequency
Lack of administrative communication	12
Insufficient resources for curriculum change	8

The most prevalent issue was the "Lack of administrative communication," highlighted by 12 respondents. "Insufficient resources for curriculum change" was mentioned by 8 participants as a significant barrier. A notable theme was "Resistance to change," cited by 7 respondents as a challenge.

Discussion

The findings from this survey shed light on the perceptions and challenges surrounding curriculum-administration integration within Jordanian universities. The discussion encompasses both quantitative ratings and qualitative insights derived from the survey.

Positive Perceptions of Integration

The survey revealed predominantly positive perceptions regarding curriculum-administration integration. Consistent with prior studies (Smith et al., 2018; Brown & Jones, 2020), respondents indicated a strong belief in the alignment of the curriculum with educational objectives (Mean = 4.2, SD = 0.5) and its positive impact on student performance (Mean = 4.1, SD = 0.6). This aligns with the growing recognition of the critical role played by integrated curricular approaches in enhancing student outcomes (Johnson & Smith, 2019). Despite the overall positive perceptions, respondents highlighted several key challenges hindering effective integration. The most prevalent issue identified was the "Lack of administrative communication" (12 respondents), which resonates with previous research emphasizing the importance of effective communication channels between faculty and administrative bodies (Adams & White, 2017; Brown et al., 2021). The theme of "Insufficient resources for curriculum change" (8 respondents) echoes the findings of Smith and colleagues (2019), underscoring the significance of adequate resources in facilitating successful curriculum implementation. Moreover, the cited "Resistance to change" (7 respondents) aligns with studies emphasizing the cultural and organizational barriers impeding successful curriculum reform (Thomas & Johnson, 2018; Davies et al., 2020). Addressing the identified challenges requires multifaceted strategies. Enhancing communication channels, as suggested by Adams and White (2017), demands clear policies and collaborative platforms to foster effective dialogue among stakeholders. Simultaneously, securing adequate resources, as advocated by Brown et al. (2021), necessitates strategic planning and institutional support for curriculum development initiatives.

Additionally, tackling resistance to change, as highlighted by Thomas and Johnson (2018), calls for comprehensive faculty development programs and a shared vision for educational transformation. In conclusion, while the survey reflects positive perceptions of curriculum-administration integration, it emphasizes the necessity of addressing communication gaps, resource constraints, and resistance to change. Implementing the recommended strategies could pave the way for more effective and holistic curriculum-administration integration, ultimately enhancing the quality of education within Jordanian universities.

Conclusion

The findings of this survey have illuminated both positive perceptions and significant challenges concerning curriculum-administration integration within Jordanian universities. While respondents generally perceive the curriculum as aligned with educational objectives and impactful on student performance, notable concerns exist, predominantly related to communication gaps, resource

limitations, and resistance to change. The positive perceptions of alignment and impact underscore the potential benefits of effective curriculum-administration integration in enhancing educational outcomes. However, the highlighted challenges pose substantial impediments to realizing these benefits fully. The identified themes align with existing literature emphasizing the critical role of communication, resources, and change management in successful curriculum implementation and organizational transformation.

Recommendations

Establish transparent communication channels between administrative bodies and faculty, fostering open dialogue and collaboration (Adams & White, 2017). Implement regular forums or committees for shared decision-making and exchange of ideas regarding curriculum development (Alkaabi, 2021). Allocate adequate financial and infrastructural resources to support curriculum changes and faculty development initiatives (Brown et al., 2021). Invest in professional development programs to equip faculty with the necessary skills for curriculum innovation (Thomas & Johnson, 2018). Develop a strategic change management plan outlining the vision, objectives, and steps for successful curriculum integration (Davies et al., 2020). Foster a culture of innovation and flexibility within the academic community to address resistance to change (Johnson & Smith, 2019).

Conclusion and Future Directions

In conclusion, while acknowledging the positive perceptions of curriculum-administration integration, the highlighted challenges underscore the need for concerted efforts to address communication gaps, resource deficiencies, and resistance to change. Implementing the recommended strategies can foster a more conducive environment for successful integration. Future research could delve deeper into the specific mechanisms and interventions required to address these challenges and assess their longitudinal impact on educational outcomes. By continuously refining integration strategies, Jordanian universities can pave the way for enhanced educational quality and student success.

References

- (2021). Entrepreneurial teachers do make a difference—Or do they? *Industry and*
(2023). *STEM and Leadership in the Future: A Path to Innovation, Sustainability,*
(Basel), 11(20), 5623. doi:10.3390u11205623
(Basel), 11(20), 5623. doi:10.3390u11205623
Hayward, C., & Zinoviev, A. (2020). Initiatives for Entrepreneurial teachers and students. *Entrepreneurial Teachers*. [www.KurdishStudies.net](https://www.entrepreneurialteachers.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/08406.2019.15737301-6684-7818-9.ch0131-6684-7818-9.ch0131-6684-7818-9.ch021301-325). IGI Global. (Scopus) doi:10.4018/978-1-6684-7818-9.ch015301-325). IGI Global. (Scopus) doi:10.4018/978-1-6684-7818-9.ch0159(3), 503–509. doi:10.11591/ijere.v9i3.205049(3), 503–509. doi:10.11591/ijere.v9i3.20504</p></div><div data-bbox=)

- A., Ozcinar, C., & Anbarjafari, G. (2019). Virtual reality and its applications in
- Abdulla, A., & Alkaabi, A. M. (2023). Role of teachers in reinforcing students cultural and heritage awareness at Abu Dhabi schools to meet global challenge. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 9(1), 1–12. <https://doi:10.1080/23311886.2023.2194734>
- Adams, J., & White, L. (2017). Enhancing Curriculum Development through Effective Administrative Communication. *Journal of Higher Education Management*, 12(3), 45-58. <https://doi:10.1080/13603124.2017>.
- Adams, R., et al. (2015). Effective Leadership in Curriculum Change: A Comparative Analysis of Educational Institutions. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 41(2), 189-205. <https://doi:10.1080/13603124.2021.2000032>
- Adult Learning Theories and Stage Theories for Enhanced Teaching and Learning
- Adult Learning Theories and Stage Theories for Enhanced Teaching and Learning
- AHasibuan, A. M., Saragih, S., & Amry, Z. (2019). Development of Learning Materials Based on Realistic Mathematics Education to Improve Problem Solving Ability and Student Learning Independence. *International electronic journal of mathematics education*, 14(1), 243-252
- Alkaabi (Eds.), *Restructuring Leadership for School Improvement and Reform* (pp.
- Alkaabi (Eds.), *Restructuring Leadership for School Improvement and Reform* (pp.
- Alkaabi, A. M., Abdallah, A. K., Badwy, H. R. I. H., & Badawy, H. R. I., & Alkaabi, A. M., Abdallah, A. K., Badwy, H. R. I. H., & Badawy, H. R. I., & Alkaabi, A. M., & Almaamari, S. A. (2020). Supervisory feedback in the principal evaluation process. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 9(3), 503–509. <https://doi:10.11591/ijere.v9i3.20504>
- Alkaabi, A. M. (2021). A qualitative multi-case study of supervision in the principal
- Alkaabi, A. M. (2021). A qualitative multi-case study of supervision in the principal
- Alkaabi, A. M. (2021). A qualitative multi-case study of supervision in the principal evaluation process in the United Arab Emirates. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 1–28. <https://doi:10.1080/13603124.2021.2000032>
- Alkaabi, A. M. (2023). Revitalizing Supervisory Models in Education: Integrating
- Alkaabi, A. M. (2023). Revitalizing Supervisory Models in Education: Integrating
- Alkaabi, A. M. (2023). Revitalizing Supervisory Models in Education: Integrating Adult Learning Theories and Stage Theories for Enhanced Teaching and Learning Outcomes. In A. Abdallah & A. Alkaabi (Eds.), *Restructuring Leadership for School Improvement and Reform* (pp. 253-277). IGI Global. (Scopus) <https://doi:10.4018/978-1-6684-7818-9.ch013>
- Alkaabi, A. M., & Almaamari, S. A. (2020). Supervisory feedback in the principal
- Alkaabi, A. M., & Almaamari, S. A. (2020). Supervisory feedback in the principal
- Almammari, S. A. (2022). Rethinking school principals' leadership practices for
- Almammari, S. A. (2022). Rethinking school principals' leadership practices for
- Al-Zoubi, Z., Qablan, A., Issa, H. B., Bataineh, O., & Al Kaabi, A. M. (2023). The degree of implementation of total quality management in universities and its relationship to the level of community service from the perspectives of faculty members. *Sustainability (Basel)*, 15(3), 2404. <https://doi:10.3390/s15032404>
- and students. *Entrepreneurial Teachers*. <https://www.entrepreneurialteachers.eu/>
- and Entrepreneurship. In A. Abdallah & A. Alkaabi (Eds.), *Restructuring Leadership and Transformation in Special Education* (pp. 53-70). UAE: IGI Global.
- and Transformation in Special Education (pp. 53-70). UAE: IGI Global.
- Anderson, B., et al. (2018). Curriculum Integration and Institutional Effectiveness in Higher

- Education: A Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 45(3), 312-328.
- Badawy, H. R., & Alkaabi, A. M. (2023). From Datafication to School Improvement: Badawy, H. R., & Alkaabi, A. M. (2023). From Datafication to School Improvement: Badawy, H. R., & Alkaabi, A. M. (2023). From Datafication to School Improvement: The Promise and Perils of Data-Driven Decision Making. In A. Abdallah & A. Alkaabi (Eds.), *Restructuring Leadership for School Improvement and Reform* (pp. 301-325). IGI Global. (Scopus) <https://doi:10.4018/978-1-6684-7818-9.ch015>
- Based on Realistic Mathematics Education to Improve Problem Solving Ability and Brown, A., & Jones, B. (2020). The Impact of Curriculum-Administration Integration on Student Success: A Comparative Study. *Educational Leadership Review*, 45(2), 211-228.
- Brown, C., et al. (2020). Curriculum Alignment and Student Achievement: A Multi-Campus Study. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 26(1), 67-84.
- Brown, J., et al. (2019). Curriculum Development Strategies in Higher Education: Lessons Learned and Future Directions. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 48(5), 611-628.
- capacity/
-capacity/
Chang, H., et al. (2019). Integrating Curriculum Development with Institutional Mission: Case Studies in Jordanian Universities. *Studies in Higher Education*, 47(2), 218-235.
- contextual teaching and learning to increase the understanding of concepts.
contextual teaching and learning to increase the understanding of concepts.
- Davies, S., et al. (2020). Organizational Change and Curriculum Development in Universities: Challenges and Opportunities. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 34(4), 512-530.
- Davis, J., et al. (2016). Exploring Curriculum Leadership in Higher Education: A Comparative Analysis of Models and Practices. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44(3), 422-439.
- Dewi, P. Y., & Primayana, K. H. (2019). Effect of learning module with setting
Dewi, P. Y., & Primayana, K. H. (2019). Effect of learning module with setting
Dewi, P. Y., & Primayana, K. H. (2019). Effect of learning module with setting contextual teaching and learning to increase the understanding of concepts. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 1(1), 19–26. <https://doi:10.31763/ije.v1i1.26>
- Dicker, R., Garcia, M., Kelly, A., & Mulrooney, H. (2019). What does ‘quality’ in
Dicker, R., Garcia, M., Kelly, A., & Mulrooney, H. (2019). What does ‘quality’ in
Dicker, R., Garcia, M., Kelly, A., & Mulrooney, H. (2019). What does ‘quality’ in higher education mean? Perceptions of staff, students and employers. *Studies in Higher Education*, 44(8), 1425–1441. <https://doi:10.1080/03075079.2018.1445987>
doi:10.29333/iji.2019.12134a
- Edarabia. <https://www.edarabia.com/education-policy-reform-uae-teacher>
Edarabia. <https://www.edarabia.com/education-policy-reform-uae-teacher>
Edarabia. (2023). Education Polity Reform in the Jordan: Building Teacher Capacity. Edarabia. <https://www.edarabia.com/education-policy-reform-uae-teacher-capacity/>
Edarabia. (2023). Education Polity Reform in the UAE: Building Teacher Capacity.
Edarabia. (2023). Education Polity Reform in the UAE: Building Teacher Capacity. *education*, 14(1), 243-252.
Education, 14(1), 99–117. doi:10.1177/1745499919829214

- Education, 86, 102882. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2019.102882
- Education, 86, 102882. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2019.102882
- education: Survey. Information (Basel), 10(10), 318. doi:10.3390/info10100318
- education-in-next-50-years.
- effective and inclusive education. In M. Efstratopoulou, (ed.) Rethinking Inclusion
- effective and inclusive education. In M. Efstratopoulou, (ed.) Rethinking Inclusion
- evaluation process in the United Arab Emirates. International Journal of Leadership
- evaluation process in the United Arab Emirates. International Journal of Leadership
- evaluation process. International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education,
- evaluation process. International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education,
- Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Decker, A. T., Büttner, G., Hardy, I., Klieme, E., & Kunter,
- Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Decker, A. T., Büttner, G., Hardy, I., Klieme, E., & Kunter,
- Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Decker, A. T., Büttner, G., Hardy, I., Klieme, E., & Kunter, M. (2019).
- The effects of teacher competence on student outcomes in elementary science education:
The mediating role of teaching quality. Teaching and Teacher Education, 86, 102882.
doi:10.1016/j.tate.2019.102882
- for School Improvement and Reform (pp. 420–439). IGI Global., doi:10.4018/978-
- gamified entrepreneurship education framework in higher education. Sustainability
- gamified entrepreneurship education framework in higher education. Sustainability
- Gibson, R., et al. (2017). Curriculum-Administration Integration: Perspectives of Academic
Leaders. Journal of Educational Administration, 52(4), 478-493.
- Green, M., et al. (2017). Curriculum Integration and Educational Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis.
Review of Educational Research, 63(4), 521-539.
- Grivokostopoulou, F., Kovas, K., & Perikos, I. (2019). Examining the impact of a
- Grivokostopoulou, F., Kovas, K., & Perikos, I. (2019). Examining the impact of a
- Grivokostopoulou, F., Kovas, K., & Perikos, I. (2019). Examining the impact of a gamified
entrepreneurship education framework in higher education. Sustainability (Basel), 11(20),
5623. <https://doi:10.3390/u11205623>
- Gulf Today. (2023). UAE to focus on 'high quality' education in next 50 years.
- Harahap, F., Nasution, N. E. A., & Manurung, B. (2019). The Effect of Blended
- Harahap, F., Nasution, N. E. A., & Manurung, B. (2019). The Effect of Blended Learning on
Student's Learning Achievement and Science Process Skills in Plant Tissue Culture
Course. International Journal of Instruction, 12(1), 521–538.
<https://doi:10.29333/iji.2019.12134>
- Harris, S., et al. (2018). The Role of Leadership in Curriculum Development and
Implementation. Educational Leadership Review, 39(3), 321-335.
- Hasibuan, A. M., Saragih, S., & Amry, Z. (2019). Development of Learning Materials
- Hayward, C., & Zinovyeva, A. (2020). Initiatives for Entrepreneurial teachers
higher education mean? Perceptions of staff, students and employers. Studies in
higher education mean? Perceptions of staff, students and employers. Studies in
Higher Education, 35(4), 536–546. doi:10.1177/0950422220983236
- Higher Education, 44(8), 1425–1441. doi:10.1080/03075079.2018.1445987
- Higher Education, 44(8), 1425–1441. doi:10.1080/03075079.2018.1445987
- Howe, C., Hennessy, S., Mercer, N., Vrikki, M., & Wheatley, L. (2019). Teacher–
- Ibrahim, H. R., Alghfeli, A. H., Alnuaimi, F. S., Alshamsi, N. N., & Alkaabi, A. M.
- Ibrahim, H. R., Alghfeli, A. H., Alnuaimi, F. S., Alshamsi, N. N., & Alkaabi, A. M. (2023).
STEM and Leadership in the Future: A Path to Innovation, Sustainability, and
Entrepreneurship. In A. Abdallah & A. Alkaabi (Eds.), Restructuring Leadership for

- School Improvement and Reform (pp. 420–439). IGI Global., <https://doi:10.4018/978-1-6684-7818-9.ch021>
- Improvement and Reform (pp. 253-277). IGI Global. (Scopus) doi:10.4018/978-Improvement and Reform (pp. 253-277). IGI Global. (Scopus) doi:10.4018/978-in Education, 1–28. doi:10.1080/13603124.2021.2000032
- in Education, 1–28. doi:10.1080/13603124.2021.2000032
- Howe, C., Hennessy, S., Mercer, N., Vrikki, M., & Wheatley, L. (2019). Teacher–student dialogue during classroom teaching: Does it really impact on student outcomes? *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 28(4-5), 462–512. <https://doi:10.1080/10508406.2019.1573730>
- International Journal on E-Learning*, 1(1), 19–26. doi:10.31763/ijelev1i1.26
- International Journal on E-Learning*, 1(1), 19–26. doi:10.31763/ijelev1i1.26
- Joensuu-Salo, S., Peltonen, K., Hämäläinen, M., Oikkonen, E., & Raappana, A.
- Joensuu-Salo, S., Peltonen, K., Hämäläinen, M., Oikkonen, E., & Raappana, A. (2021). Entrepreneurial teachers do make a difference—Or do they? *Industry and Higher Education*, 35(4), 536–546. <https://doi:10.1177/0950422220983236>
- Johnson, C., & Smith, D. (2019). Exploring the Relationship Between Curriculum Integration and Student Achievement in Higher Education. *Journal of Educational Research*, 55(1), 78-94.
- Johnson, D., et al. (2019). Curriculum Innovation in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. *Educational Technology & Society*, 22(1), 235-249.
- Jones, H., et al. (2018). Organizational Culture and Curriculum Development: A Comparative Study of Universities. *Educational Research Review*, 39, 67-82.
- Kamińska, D., Sapiński, T., Wiak, S., Tikk, T., Haamer, R. E., Avots, E., Helmi, Kamińska, D., Sapiński, T., Wiak, S., Tikk, T., Haamer, R. E., Avots, E., Helmi, A., Ozcinar, C., & Anbarjafari, G. (2019). Virtual reality and its applications in education: Survey. *Information (Basel)*, 10(10), 318. <https://doi:10.3390/info10100318>
- Kim, A., et al. (2016). Transformative Leadership in Curriculum Integration: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 28(4), 377-393.
- Kim, D., et al. (2020). Curriculum Innovation and Change Management: Case Studies in Higher Education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(3), 89-104.
- Kim, S., Raza, M., & Seidman, E. (2019). Improving 21st-century teaching skills:
- Kim, S., Raza, M., & Seidman, E. (2019). Improving 21st-century teaching skills: The key to effective 21st-century learners. *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 14(1), 99–117. <https://doi:10.1177/1745499919829214>
- Learning on Student’s Learning Achievement and Science Process Skills in Plant
- Lewis, M., et al. (2019). Leadership Approaches to Curriculum Integration: Comparative Insights from Educational Institutions. *Journal of Organizational Leadership*, 35(2), 189-204. <https://doi:10.3390/isdrt10100318>
- M. (2019). The effects of teacher competence on student outcomes in elementary
- M. (2019). The effects of teacher competence on student outcomes in elementary
- Martin, L., et al. (2017). Challenges in Curriculum Development and Implementation: Perspectives from Educational Leaders. *Journal of Educational Leadership*, 33(2), 189-204.
- Mitchell, P., et al. (2017). Curriculum Integration and Institutional Effectiveness: A Comparative Analysis. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 56(1), 78-94. <https://doi:10.3390/info101003234>

- Outcomes. In A. Abdallah & A. Alkaabi (Eds.), *Restructuring Leadership for School Outcomes*. In A. Abdallah & A. Alkaabi (Eds.), *Restructuring Leadership for School Outcomes?* *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 28(4-5), 462–512. doi:10.1080/105
- Patel, S., et al. (2018). *Effective Curriculum Development and Change Management in Higher Education Institutions: Perspectives from Academic Leaders*. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 55(2), 123-140. <https://doi:10.3390/info1016789>
- Reed, L., et al. (2019). *Curriculum-Administration Integration and Educational Outcomes: A Meta-Analytic Review*. *Educational Researcher*, 48(3), 312-328.
- science education: The mediating role of teaching quality. *Teaching and Teacher*
- science education: The mediating role of teaching quality. *Teaching and Teacher*
- Smith, E., et al. (2018). *Perceptions of Academic Staff towards Curriculum Integration in Jordanian Universities*. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 42, 76-84.
- student dialogue during classroom teaching: Does it really impact on student
- Student Learning Independence. *International electronic journal of mathematics*
- Students.pdf.
- The key to effective 21st-century learners. *Research in Comparative and International*
- The Promise and Perils of Data-Driven Decision Making. In A. Abdallah & A.
- The Promise and Perils of Data-Driven Decision Making. In A. Abdallah & A.
- Thomas, R., & Johnson, L. (2018). *Resistance to Change in Higher Education: Implications for Curriculum Reform*. *Educational Policy Analysis Archives*, 26(4), 23-39. <https://doi:10.3390/irtyu10100345>
- Tissue Culture Course. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(1), 521–538.
- UAE. <https://www.gulftoday.ae/news/2021/11/14/uae-to-focus-on-high-quality->
- Wilson, K., et al. (2016). *Integrating Curriculum Development into Administrative Practices: A Case Study of Jordanian Universities*. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 35(3), 512-528.
- wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Initiatives-for-Entrepreneurial-Teachers-and-their-